1/17/24, 11:24 AM Preview Evaluation



OASIS Curriculum Management and Student Scheduling

Email	Std. Performance	Course	Faculty	Classifications	Question Pool	Mult Choice Pool	

<u>Home</u> / <u>Administration</u> / <u>Manage</u> / <u>Evaluations</u> / **Preview Evaluation**

Preview Evaluation

Sample Radiology Clerkship Student Performance Evaluation (SPE) [Version: 1]

Preview As Display Individual Form ✓

Student Performance Evaluation

Sample Radiology Clerkship Student Performance Evaluation (SPE)

Return to Evaluation

Student Level Student level

Course Information									
Date	Course	Location	Weeks						
01/01/2006 - 01/31/2006	XXX-YYY: Department Course	Location	8						

Evaluation Period: 01/01/2006 - 01/31/2006

Faculty: Evaluator name

Student: Student name Email: oasis@umassmed.edu, IREA@umassmed.edu

This is a UMass Chan milestone-based evaluation form. Please take a moment to identify the competency that each milestone is related to, as well as the full milestone description provided with each prompt. For your reference here is the catalogue of UMass Chan's competencies and milestones.

The green highlighted area is where we expect a learner at this level to be. The more specific behavioral descriptors apply to the number scale gradations for this particular rotation to assist in your selection of the most appropriate level for this learner. Choose N/A if you did not observe the student for this milestone.

Please review this 1-page guide for completion of this student performance evaluation (SPE).

Question numbers in red* are required.

PHYSICIAN AS CLINICAL PROBLEM SOLVER:

1.* Management Plan Formulation: Please select the result that best describes your observation of the learner (Sol4E):

Critical Deficiencies	Early Learner			D	emonstrating Improvem	ent	Graduation Target (as befitting a physician)		
Dis	covery P	hase ex	pected		Exploration P	hase expected	Horizons Phase expected		
perfo	rmance	up to t	arget 2.	5	performance u	ip to target 3.5	performance up to target 4.0		
						linical reasoning can choose and			
Identifies and differentiates clinical reasoning strategies to formulate differential diagnoses and develop management plans while acknowledging limitations of scientific/medical knowledge.				oses and vledging	interpret diagnostic differential diagn management plans limitations d	c tests to formulate oses and develop s while considering of scientific/ nowledge.	Employs clinical reasoning strategies and diagnostic testing to formulate differential diagnoses and develops management plans that reflect patient preference and best available evidence using judgment.		
0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3 3.5		4		

- Not Applicable
- 2.0: Student is not yet meeting early clerkship level performance.
- 2.5: Can formulate diff dx and management plan for common conditions.
- 3.0: Can formulate diff dx and management plan for more complex conditions.
- 3.5: Can choose and interpret diagnostic tests, using available evidence to formulate a prioritized diff dx and management plan.
- 4.0: Can choose and interpret diagnostic tests to formulate a prioritized diff dx and management plan while incorporating patient preferences and best available evidence and judgement.

PHYSICIAN AS PERSON:

2.* Learning Engagement: Please select the result that best describes your observation of the learner (Per1E):

Critical Deficiencies	Early Learner			D	emonstrating Improvem	Graduation Target (as befitting a physician)	
Discovery Phase expected performance up to target 2.5					•	hase expected up to target 3.5	Horizons Phase expected performance up to target 4.0
		portunitie	s to deve	lop	opportunities to adv	and participates in vance their personal nowledge and skills and humility.	Appreciates the need for lifelong learning in the profession and will seek and participate in personal and professional opportunities.
0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4

\bigcirc	Not	Ann	licab	le
\cup	1100	$\neg pp$	IICUD	,

- 2.0: Student is not yet meeting early clerkship level performance.
- 2.5: Participates in all educational and clinical opportunities presented as part of the curriculum or structured patient care.
- 3.0: May ask for direction on materials related to cases outside of the set curriculum and is actively engaged in care team activities particularly in areas of student curiosity and interests.
- 3.5: Reviews materials related to cases/patients independently and without prompting and brings that knowledge back to the team demonstrating their enthusiasm for particular topics through educating others.
- 4.0: Reviews related materials and enthusiastically brings that knowledge back to the care team/patient. Self-initiates dialogue with care team to advance own learning, asking questions in real time indicating areas of personal interest.

PHYSICIAN AS PROFESSIONAL:

3.* Team Participation: Please select the result that best describes your observation of the learner (Pro3E):

Critical Deficiencies	Early Learner			D	emonstrating Improvem	ent	Graduation Target (as befitting a physician)
Discovery Phase expected					Exploration Pl	hase expected	Horizons Phase expected
perfo	rmance	up to t	arget 2.	5	performance u	ip to target 3.5	performance up to target 4.0
professi	stands the onals and oratively in clinica	consultan	its and wo	orks	Demonstrates effe within interprofe educational and/o	essional teams in	Demonstrates effective and flexible participation within interprofessional teams in educational and clinical settings.
0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4

- Not Applicable
- 2.0: Student is not yet meeting early clerkship level performance.
- 2.5: Consistently collaborates within interprofessional teams in educational and/or clinical settings with inconsistent (<50% of the time) demonstration of effective participation.
- 3.0: Demonstrates effective participation within interprofessional teams in educational and/or clinical settings most times (50-75% of the time).
- 3.5: Consistently demonstrates effective participation within interprofessional teams in educational and/or clinical settings (>75% of the time).
- 4.0: Excels at demonstrating effective and flexible participation within interprofessional teams in educational and clinical settings. A model for others.

1/17/24, 11:24 AM Preview Evaluation

4.* Professionalism: Please select the result that best describes your observation of the learner (Pro5E):

Critical Deficiencies	Early Learner			D	emonstrating Improvem	ent	Graduation Target (as befitting a physician)		
	covery P ormance		•		•	hase expected up to target 3.5	Horizons Phase expected performance up to target 4.0		
Attends to professional and administrative tasks including responding to school-related communication, punctual attendance, and accurate documentation in a timely manner as expected of a learner.					administrative responding to communication, pu and accurate do timely manner	ofessional and tasks including school-related inctual attendance, cumentation in a as expected of cision-maker.	Accomplishes professional and administrative tasks without prompting including responding to school-related communication, punctual attendance, and accurate documentation in a timely manner as befitting a physician.		
0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3 3.5		4		

	communication, punctual attendance, and accurate documentation in a timely manner as expected of a <u>learner</u> .	and accurate do timely manner	inctual attendance, cumentation in a as expected of cision-maker.	communication, punctual attendance, and accurate documentation in a timely manner as <u>befitting a physician</u> .						
	0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5	3	3.5	4						
	 Not Applicable 2.0: Student is not yet meeting early clerkship level performance. 2.5: Attends to professional and administrative tasks consistently in the academic space but requires significant prompting to complete clinical tasks. 3.0: Completes professional and administrative tasks consistently in the academic setting but requires occasional prompting to complete clinical tasks. 3.5: Completes professional, administrative, and clinical tasks without prompting 4.0: Excels at accomplishing professional, administrative, and clinical tasks with an awareness of the entire team's work. A model for others. 									
ADDITI	ONAL INFORMATION:									
5. l	Please indicate the amount of	time you worked	d with this learne	r.						
	If more than one person cont (resident, faculty, nurse pract			list the names and roles						
NARRA	TIVE COMMENTS:									
7.*	Summative comments - to be	included in Med	ical Student Perfo	ormance Evaluation (MSPE):						
	Rich text									
Rich	.* Identified areas for improvement - not to be included in MSPE unless indicative of a trend: Rich text Eturn to Evaluation									

POWERED OASIS
Copyright © 2008-2024 WARF