

Agenda



NIH Update

- NOT-OD-17-057: Guidance for Adjustments to Appointment Records in xTrain to Reflect Stipend Level Increases for Postdoctoral Trainees on Institutional Ruth L. Kirschstein National Research Service Awards (NRSA)
- Submitting Final RPPRs
- Center for Scientific Review (CSR) Rejecting Applications Seeking to Circumvent Page Limits
- Center for Scientific Review (CSR) Rejecting Applications for Non-Compliant Appendix Materials
- Center for Scientific Review (CSR) Warning Letter for Non-Compliant Biosketch

Other Updates

- Piloting Electronic Signatures on Annual Progress Report and Proposal Routing Forms with Medicine
- Updating Proposal Submission Dates Using SUMMIT Pre-Award Dashboard
- Proposal & Progress Report Statistics
- Research Administration Training Topic:
 - **Departmental Subrecipient Monitoring Tools**
 - Jackie Lima, Biochemistry & Molecular Pharmacology and
 - Diego Vazquez, Office of Sponsored Programs



- This Notice provides additional guidance regarding the process recipients of Kirschstein-NRSA institutional training grants (T32, T35, T90, TL1) will need to follow in order to properly reflect adjustments to appointment records for trainees when the training grant received supplemental funding in response to NOT-OD-17-002.
- This notice also alerts training grant awardees meeting the criteria established in NOT-OD-17-002 that they must submit applications by June 30th, 2017, in order to receive supplemental funding from NIH to accommodate stipend level increase.
- The full notice is included in the Appendix of this presentation.

Submitting Final RPPRs



- As of 1/1/17, a Final RPPR is required for any grant that has ended and any grant that is not to be
 extended through award of a new competitive segment. The report is due within 120 days of the end of
 the project period. This report should be prepared in accordance with instructions provided by the
 awarding component.
- Effective 2/9/17, if the recipient organization has submitted a renewal application on or before the date by which a Final Research Performance Progress Report (Final-RPPR) would be required for the current competitive segment, then submission of an Interim RPPR via eRA Commons is now required. The I-RPPR will be used for the submission of a Competing Renewal application (Type 2).
- Both the Interim RPPR and the Final RPPR are currently identical in process and information required. The difference between the two is when and where they are made available to initiate and submit. The Interim RPPR link will be made available to the Signing Official (SO) in the Status screen when a grant is eligible for submission of a Competing Renewal application.
- The Final RPPR is only available as part of the Closeout process and the Process Final RPPR link only appears on the Closeout Status screen.
- The format of the Interim RPPR and the Final RPPR will be the same as the current annual RPPR, making it easier for recipients to navigate through both the Interim and the Final RPPR, based on familiarity with the existing format of the annual RPPR.
- Differences between Interim/Final RPPR and the annual RPPR are few:
 - In the Interim/Final RPPR, only Section D.1 is required in the Participants section
 - Sections F: Changes and Section H: Budget are not part of the Interim/Final RPPR
 - Section I: Outcomes is new. Section I is required for both the Interim/Final RPPR
- eRA Commons FRPPR Help: https://era.nih.gov/erahelp/commons/Commons/status/closeout/Final_RPPR.htm

OSP F-RPPR Guidance to PIs 💹



- E-mailed to Investigators:
- I am following up on the notice below from the NIH regarding the closeout of your XXXXXXXX grant. Your closeout documents are due no later than XXXXXXXX.
- The Final Research Performance Progress Report (FRPPR) is submitted through the Commons in a format similar to the annual Research Performance Progress Report (RPPR).
- Further guidance and screenshots can be found here:
 https://era.nih.gov/erahelp/commons/Commons/status/closeout/Final_RPPR.htm
- Please note that Section D.1 Participants is REQUIRED for the FRPPR. Your administrator, cc'ed on this email, should be able to assist in providing you the information and assistance needed to complete this section.
- Unlike the Annual Progress Report (RPPR), a FRPPR cannot be accessed by a delegate or routed to a delegate for assistance. It must be completed under your Commons Account.
- Once the FRPPR is completed and no errors are found on validation it can be submitted directly to the NIH. It does not require routing to our office for review.

Center for Scientific Review (CSR) Rejecting Applications Seeking to Circumvent Page Limits

Information that should have been in the Research Strategy Section was placed in the Authentication of **Key Biological** and/or Chemical Resources section



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institute of Health Center for Scientific Review

6701 Rockledge Drive Bethesda, Maryland 20892 Tel: 301-435-0715

April 10, 2017

University of Massachusetts Medical School

Dear Dr.

Your application entitled

has been analyzed by

the Division of Receipt and Referral at the Center for Scientific Review at the NIH. Your application has been found to contain information that should be within the page limits of the Research Strategy inappropriately placed in other sections of the application. Specifically, this information was found in Authentication of Key Biological and/or Chemical Resources section. Therefore, the application cannot proceed in the referral and review process.

Beginning with applications targeting due dates on or after January 25, 2010, NIH converted to a restructured application package and shorter page limits for most activity codes. These page limits must be adhered to and it is not permissible to add material to inappropriate sections (e.g. appendix, vertebrate animals etc) to circumvent the specified page limits. See:

- •NOT-OD-07-018 New Limits on Appendix Materials (http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-07-018) to be replaced with
- •NOT-OD-16-129 -- New Policy Eliminates Most Appendix Material for NIH/AHRQ/NIOSH Applications Submitted for Due Dates On or After January 25, 2017 (https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-16-129.html)
- •NOT-OD-11-080 -- Reminder: Compliance with NIH Application Format and Content Instructions (http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-11-080.html)
- •NOT-OD-15-095 Reminder: NIH Policy on Application Compliance (http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-15-095.html)

We regret that we must withdraw your application at this time. The next due date is June 5, 2017. If you have any questions concerning this action, please contact me or anyone else in this office.

Center for Scientific Review (CSR) Rejecting

Applications for Non-Compliant Appendix Materials

Appendix
Information
included over 20
pages of data term
explanations.

Unallowable materials resulted in application being withdrawn.



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institute of Health Center for Scientific Review

6701 Rockledge Drive Bethesda, Maryland 20892 Tel: 301-435-0715

April 14, 2017

University of Massachusetts Medical School

Worcester, MA 01655-0002

Dear Dr

Your application (

has been identified as having Appendix content that is not compliant with NIH/AHRQ/CDC policy NOT-OD-16-129 (https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-16-129.html). Very limited items are allowed in appendices. In the case of your application, you have included over 20 pages of data term explanations in 2 tables. Because this unallowable material is in the Appendix, your application is being withdrawn.

For more information about this policy, see

- •NOT-OD-17-035; https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-17-035.html
- •FAQs: https://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/appendix_policy.htm

The next due date for your application is June 5, 2017. Please consider submitting a compliant application at that time.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me or anyone else in this office.

Sincerely,

Division of Receipt and Referral Center for Scientific Review

Center for Scientific Review (CSR) Warning

Letter for Non-Compliant Biosketch



National Institute of Health Center for Scientific Review

6701 Rockledge Drive Bethesda, Maryland 20892 Tel: 301-435-0715

University of Massachusetts Medical School 55 Lake Avenue North Worcester, MA 01655-0002

Dear Dr.

THIS NOTE SERVES AS A WARNING AND NO ACTION IS REQUIRED AT THIS TIME.

During the review of your application entitled

NIH staff and/or

reviewers noted that one or more of the biosketches included in the application did not comply with the new biosketch format requirements (NOT-OD-15-032; http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/noticefiles/NOT-OD-15-032.html). Applications with biosketches that do not follow the current guidelines for format and content are non-compliant. You should be mindful that non-compliance can have serious consequences. NIH may withdraw any application identified during the receipt, referral and review process that is not compliant with the instructions in the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide, the Funding Opportunity Announcement, and relevant NIH Guide Notices (see

http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-15-095.html). Instructions for preparing a compliant biosketch can be found at http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-15-032.html.

Your current application will not be withdrawn. There is no need to correct your biosketch(s) at this time. Indeed, as stated in NOT-OD-13-030, https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-13-030 html), you cannot submit updated biosketches after the submission of the grant application

If you have any questions regarding this correspondence, please contact the Scientific Review Officer who managed review of your application; that information can be found in eRA Commons or at the end of the meeting roster on your summary statement. Also, please feel free to contact anyone in this office, at the e-mail address provided below, if you need more clarification about implementation of this policy.

The Division of Receipt and Referral csrdrr@mail.nih.gov

Information that should have been in the Research Strategy Section was placed in the Authentication of **Key Biological** and/or Chemical Resources section

Update - Piloting Electronic Signatures on Annual Progress Report and Proposal Routing Forms with Medicine



- OSP accepting electronic signatures on APR and PRF forms
 - Original signatures not required with the review packet
 - OSP will accept scanned, faxed, electronically signed or pdf'd versions of the forms.
- OSP <u>still requires</u> hard copy packet for review.
- Will report back at the next RAU on the pilot and when/if the practice will be opened up to the UMMS community.

Updating Proposal Submission Dates Using SUMMIT Pre-Award Dashboard



- School leadership reviews proposal submission and success rate metrics using SUMMIT pre-award dashboard data.
 - For non-Cayuse proposals previously submitted that appear as "In Process" without a Date Submitted, use the RFS Submitted Proposal Form link to update the status.
 - Please note that this is not an electronic process. The form must be completed and submitted to OSP for updating.
 - There are currently a significant amount of proposals out there with submit dates that have yet to be submitted to OSP for updating.
- A job aid is available on Financial Services website at:
 - http://inside.umassmed.edu/uploadedFiles/Pre%20Award%20Dashboard_040314.docx

PROPOSAL SUBMISSIONS TO OSP March 2016 – March 2017



| | March 2016 | April 2016 | May 2016 | June 2016 | July 2016 | August 2016 | September 2016 | October 2016 | November 2016 | December 2016 | January 2017 | February 2017 | March 2017 |
|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------|
| Count | 89 | 72 | 101 | 106 | 78 | 86 | 121 | 106 | 71 | 48 | 103 | 108 | 90 |
| On Time | 45% | 29% | 57% | 40% | 44% | 44% | 56% | 46% | 35% | 46% | 53% | 52% | 55% |
| Late | 55% | 70% | 39% | 59% | 56% | 50% | 40% | 49% | 62% | 46% | 41% | 43% | 44% |
| After the fact | 0% | 1% | 4% | 1% | 0% | 6% | 4% | 5% | 3% | 8% | 5% | 5% | 1% |
| Withdrawn | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 0% |
| Total | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| Expedited Request (3 days or less) | 42% | 44% | 21% | 36% | 42% | 35% | 28% | 32% | 35% | 33% | 22% | 28% | 33% |

On Time: Received by OSP 5 business days prior to the requested return date.

Late: Received by OSP less than 5 business days prior to the requested return date.

After the Fact: Received by OSP after the requested return date.

SUBMISSIONS TO OSP March 2016 to March 2017 Comparison



| PROPOSALS | 2016 | 2017 | Change |
|------------------------------------|------|------|--------|
| Count | 89 | 90 | +1 |
| On Time | 45% | 55% | +10 |
| Late | 55% | 44% | -9 |
| After the fact | 0% | 1% | +1 |
| Withdrawn | 0% | 0% | - |
| Total | 100% | 100% | - |
| Expedited Request (3 days or less) | 42% | 33% | -9 |

On Time: Received by OSP 5 business days prior to the requested return date.

Late: Received by OSP less than 5 business days prior to the requested return date.

After the Fact: Received by OSP after the requested return date.

PROGRESS REPORT SUBMISSIONS TO OSP March 2016 – March 2017



| | March 2016 | April 2016 | May 2016 | June 2016 | July 2016 | August 2016 | September 2016 | October 2016 | November 2016 | December 2016 | January 2017 | February 2017 | March 2017 |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------|
| Count | 71 | 58 | 43 | 50 | 25 | 17 | 27 | 34 | 32 | 35 | 43 | 38 | 59 |
| On Time | 58% | 64% | 49% | 52% | 60% | 41% | 67% | 62% | 59% | 63% | 68% | 58% | 54% |
| Late | 39% | 36% | 51% | 42% | 28% | 41% | 22% | 35% | 38% | 37% | 30% | 39% | 42% |
| After the fact | 3% | 0% | 0% | 6% | 12% | 18% | 11% | 3% | 3% | 0% | 2% | 3% | 4% |
| Total | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| Expedited Request (3 days or less) | 27% | 26% | 37% | 36% | 16% | 35% | 19% | 29% | 19% | 23% | 21% | 32% | 25% |

On Time: Received by OSP 5 business days prior to the requested return date.

Late: Received by OSP less than 5 business days prior to the requested return date.

After the Fact: Received by OSP after the requested return date.

SUBMISSIONS TO OSP March 2016 to March 2017 Comparison



| PROGRESS REPORTS | 2016 | 2017 | Change |
|------------------------------------|------|------|--------|
| Count | 71 | 59 | -12 |
| On Time | 58% | 55% | -3 |
| Late | 39% | 42% | +3 |
| After the fact | 3% | 4% | +1 |
| Withdrawn | 0% | 0% | - |
| Total | 100% | 100% | - |
| Expedited Request (3 days or less) | 27% | 33% | +6 |

On Time: Received by OSP 5 business days prior to the requested return date.

Late: Received by OSP less than 5 business days prior to the requested return date.

After the Fact: Received by OSP after the requested return date.



Departmental Subrecipient Monitoring

Research Administration Update Training

April 26, 2017

Jackie Lima, Biochemistry & Molecular Pharmacology Diego Vazquez, Office of Sponsored Programs

Subrecipient Definitions from the Uniform Guidance (2 CFR 200)



- 200.74, **Pass-through entity:** non-Federal entity that provides a subaward to a subrecipient to carry out part of a Federal program.
- 200.93, **Subrecipient:** a non-Federal entity that receives a subaward from a pass-through entity to carry out part of a Federal program.
- 200.23, **Contractor** (replaces term "vendor" used in A-133: means an entity that receives a contract.

Types of Grant Agreements ?



- 200.201, Pass-through entity must decide on the appropriate instrument for the Federal Award:
 - Grant agreement
 - Cooperative agreement
 - Contract

Determination of Nature of Funding 200.330



A Pass-through entity must make a case-by-case determination as to whether each agreement casts the party as a subrecipient or contractor. Look at the nature of the relationship. It does not matter what the agreement is called.

| <u>Subaward</u> | <u>Contract</u> |
|---|--|
| Allowable activities based on applicable statute, local plan, State rules Management rules Applicable OMB Circular; and Sponsor policies and procedures, State law | Allowable activities based on terms and conditions of contract Management rules Terms of the contract; and State contract law |

Departments should use the <u>FDP Subrecipient or Contractor Determination</u>
 <u>Form</u> available on the OSP website to assist in making this determination.

Subrecipient vs. Contractor



- Subrecipient 200.330(a)
 - Determines who is eligible to participate in a federal program
 - Has its performance measured against whether the objectives of the federal program are met
 - Is responsible for programmatic decision making
 - Is responsible for complying with federal program requirements
 - Uses the federal funds to carry out a program as compared to providing goods or services for a program

- Contractor 200.330(b)
 - Provides the goods and services within normal business operations
 - Provides similar goods or services to many different purchasers
 - Operates in a competitive environment
 - Provides goods or services that are ancillary to the operation of the federal program
 - Is not subject to compliance requirements of the federal program

FDP Subrecipient or Contractor Determination Form

Available on OSP website:

Used to determine if entity is a subrecipient or a contractor.

Should be used to document determination prior to initiating agreement.

http://www.umassmed.edu/research/funding/sratoc/

| | igator: University Proposal Number: |
|---|---|
| į | Checklist to Determine Subrecipient or Contractor Classification |
| narrative, | Generally, the determination of the relationship with an entity is verified through the institutional review of the proposal budget justification, and other related proposal documents, as well as through discussions with key personnel prior to proposal n. When the relationship remains unclear, this form may provide assistance in making an accurate determination. |
| DEFINITIONS | FROM UNIFORM GUIDANCE (2 CFR. PART 200): |
| | nt: precipient means a non-Federal entity that receives a subaward from a pass-through entity to carry out part of a Federal program; but does not ndividual that is a beneficiary of such program. A subrecipient may also be a recipient of other Federal awards directly from a Federal awarding |
| | tractor means an entity that receives a contract as defined in \$200.22 Contract. tract means a legal instrument by which a non-Federal entity purchases property or services needed to carry out the project or program under a |
| with the gr occasion the relationship | NS: Complete sections one and two of the checklist by marking all characteristics that apply to the outside entity. The section reatest number of marked characteristics indicates the likely type of relationship the entity will have with the University. On the marked characteristics indicates the likely type of relationship the entity will have with the University. On the exceptions to the type of relationship indicated by the completed checklist. In these situations, the substance of the ip should be given greater consideration than the form of agreement between the University and the outside entity. Section 3 used to provide documentation on the use of judgment in determining the proper relationship classification. |
| NAME OF O | UTSIDE ENTITY: |
| Characteris 1 2 3 | a. A subaward is for the purpose of carrying out a portion of a Federal award and creates a Federal assistance relationship with the subrecipient. tics which support the classification of the non-Federal entity as a subrecipient include when the contrator: Determines who is eligible to receive what Federal assistance; Has its performance measured in relation to whether objectives of a Federal program were met; Has responsibility for programmatic decision making; In accordance with its agreement, uses the Federal funds to carry out a program for a public purpose specified in |
| | authorizing statute, as opposed to providing goods or services for the benefit of the pass-through entity. Entities that include these characteristics are responsible for adherence to applicable Federal program requirements specified in the Federal award. |
| Description | - CONTRACTOR 2: A contract is for the purpose of obtaining goods and services for the non-Federal entity's own use and creates a procurement relationship with the Characteristics indicative of a procurement relationship between the non-Federal entity and a contractor are when the non-Federal entity receiving funds: |
| 1 | . Provides the goods and services within normal business operations; |
| 2 | . Provides similar goods or services to many different purchasers; |
| 3 | . Normally operates in a competitive environment; |
| <u> </u> | . Provides goods or services that are ancillary to the operation of the Federal program. |
| | Entities that include these characteristics are not subject to compliance requirements of the Federal program as a result of the agreement, though similar requirements may apply for other reasons. |
| | COMINATION. |
| FINAL DETI | SUBRECIPIENT CONTRACTOR |

P FINAL Revised 8/23/2016

Explanation of Use of Judgment Determination

Specific Requirements for

Pass-Through-Entities 200.331(a)



OSP is required to ensure that every subaward contains the following information relating to federal award identification (13 data points):

- 1. Subrecipient name (must match the name associated with its unique entity identifier)
- 2. Subrecipient unique entity identifier
- 3. Federal Award Identification Number (FAIN)
- 4. Federal Award Date
- 5. Period of performance start and end date
- 6. Amount of federal funds 'obligated by this action'
- 7. Total amount of federal funds 'obligated to the subrecipient'
- 8. Total amount of the federal award
- 9. Federal award project description for FFATA purposes
- 10. Name of federal awarding agency, pass-through entity, and contact official
- 11. CFDA Number and Name; the pass-through entity must identify the dollar amount made available under each Federal award and the CFDA number at time of disbursement
- 12. Whether the award is for "research and development"
- 13. The indirect cost rate

Risk Factors 200.331(b)



- When reviewing the subrecipient OSP will consider the following risk factors:
 - Subrecipient's prior experience with the grant program
 - Results of previous audits
 - New personnel or substantially changed systems
 - Results of prior federal monitoring

Specific Conditions 200.331(b) and 200.207



Based on its evaluation, pass-through-entity <u>may</u> <u>consider</u> imposing additional federal award conditions, if appropriate, such as:

- Require reimbursement;
- Withhold funds until evidence of acceptable performance;
- More detailed reporting;
- Additional monitoring;
- Require grantee to obtain technical or management assistance; or
- Establish additional prior approvals.

Monitoring - 200.331(d)



- Pass-through-entity must monitor its subrecipients to assure compliance and performance goals are achieved
- Monitoring must include:
 - 1. Review of financial and programmatic reports
 - 2. Ensuring corrective action
 - 3. Issue a "management decision" on audit findings

Audit Resolution 200.331(e)



- Pass-through entity must verify all subrecipients meeting the \$750K threshold have single audits
 - OSP performs this review/verification
- Audit threshold raised from \$500K in Circular A-133 to \$750K in the Uniform Guidance

Important Internal Controls 2



Oversight responsibility for subrecipient monitoring is tied very closely to internal controls that non-federal entities including pass-through entities, are required to have in place. This includes having:

- Well-trained and knowledgeable staff members
- Sufficient resources (financial and staffing) dedicated to subrecipient monitoring
- Oversight managers with knowledge to identify the most appropriate methods/tools and extent of monitoring to be used
- Indicators to help identify risks from outside factors that may affect a subrecipient's performance (those related to economic conditions, political changes, regulatory changes or unreliable information)
- Official written policies and procedures (e.g., methodology for resolving findings of noncompliance or internal control weaknesses)
- Follow-up processes to ensure timely appropriate actions are taken or completed on a subrecipient's reported deficiencies
- Reviews of the subrecipient's financial and programmatic reports

http://www.umassmed.edu/research/funding/sratoc/



Subrecipient Monitoring Tools Available on the OSP Website:

- Monitoring Record
- Monitoring Guide
- FDP Sub v. Contractor
 Determination Form



Home

Basic Research Clinical Research Sponsored Co Programs pliance Research Cores Libra

ry Intellectual Publication Property



Subaward Life Cycle

Contracts and Research Agreements

Contracts and Subcontracts

OSP leadership and teams review and negotiate research agreements and subcontracts. Please forward the following to OSP for review prior to the receipt of an award:

- For Contracts:
 - · a completed and fully signed PeopleSoft Routing Form
 - · a detailed internal budget worksheet
 - · a completed and signed Conflict of Interest Disclosure Summary for each UMMS key person
- For Subcontracts:
 - o the above, plus a Statement (or Scope) of Work
 - · OSP will generate Letter of Intent

These forms can be found on the OSP Forms page. Please contact research.funding@umassmed.edu for further information

Subrecipient Monitoring

UMass Medical School is responsible for monitoring the programmatic and financial activities of its subrecipients in order to ensure proper stewardship of sponsor funds. Subawards are subject to federal and/or agency-specific regulations established by the prime sponsor.

This guidance has been developed to assist administrators at the department and central level with subrecipient monitoring. The use of these tools and scope of monitoring procedures should be determined by the Pl and administrators based on the nature of the grant and the perceived risk associated with the subrecipient. All of the following forms are optional; however, additional monitoring efforts should always be implemented if there is any question about the subrecipient's ability to ensure proper use and financial management of sponsor funds during any stage of the award.

UMMS Subrecipient Monitoring Guide

Domestic Subrecipient Ouestionnaire

Foreign Subrecipient Questionnaire

UMMS Subrecipient Risk Assessment Matrix

UMMS Subrecipient Monitoring Record

UMMS Invoice Monitoring Guide

FDP Subrecipient or Contractor Determination Form

Subaward Invoice Monitoring Guide & Monitoring Record



UMMS SUBAWARD INVOICE MONITORING GUIDE

How to Use: The guide is a list of 11 questions for departments to consider when monitoring subaward invoices for completeness and compliance with UMMS's policies.

- Are the expenses allowable per the subaward and the prime award? Remember the prime award requirements and budget restrictions flow down to the subrecipient.
- Are the invoiced expenses included in the subaward budget? The subrecipient should only invoice for approved expenses per the subaward or ask for approval of budget changes when necessary to modify the original terms/budget.
- Are the expenses in the agreement consistent with the programmatic plan or work completed to date? The expenses invoiced should agree with the work incurred.
- Obtain Principal Investigator's (PI) approval and signature on the subrecipient invoice.
- 5. Were all the expenses incurred within the subaward start and end dates? Ensure that the dates on the invoice are within the subaward dates.
- **6.** Are the cumulative expenses within the overall approved budget amount? Ensure that subrecipients are not invoicing for amounts over the approved budget.
- 7. Are the invoice expenses per budget category in agreement with the budgeted amount per line item category?
- 8. Do expenses appear to be based on actual expenses? Cost reimbursable subcontracts require invoicing based on actual expenses only.
- 9. Does the invoice total correctly?
- 10. Are the Facilities & Administration (F&A) costs calculated correctly with the correct and agreed upon rate for the subrecipient? Ensure the calculated F&A agrees with the methodology in the budget and applies the appropriate base (e.g., Modified Total Direct Cost) for F&A recovery.
- 11. Does the invoice have an institutional official signature and contain the following statement: "I certify that all expenditures reported (or payment requested) are for appropriate purposes and in accordance with the provisions of the application and award documents."

REMEMBER: Most invoices do not include a large amount of detail. Ask the subrecipient for back-up documentation on specific budget line items if something does not appear correct.

IF THERE ARE ANY QUESTIONS ON THE INVOICES, DO NOT APPROVE UNTIL ALL ITEMS ARE APPROPRIATELY RESOLVED.

UMass Medical School Subrecipient Monitoring Record

<u>How to use:</u> The Subrecipient Monitoring Record can be used by departments to document subrecipient monitoring efforts and maintain an audit trail. Use of the tracking record is encouraged at all risk levels but is strongly encouraged for medium and high risk subrecipients.

| UMass | Medical School | | Subrecipient | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| JMMS PI Name: JMMS PS Award#: JMMS Subaward#: | | Subrecipient Name: Subrecipient PI: Subrecipient Contact: | | | | |
| Subrecipient | Period of Performance | | Project Invoicing Frequency | | | |
| Start Date: | End Date: | | Monthly Quarterly | | | |
| ame: | Individual Responsible for N Title: | Maintaining this Mon | 270 | | | |
| | Scheduled Reporting Dates (ba | sed on the terms of | the grant award) | | | |
| DATE | COMMENTS | | ACTUAL DATE* | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Informal P | rogress Reports Completed (the | se should generally t | *dates entered as each report is submitte take place at least quarterly) | | | |
| DATE | METHOD | | COMMENTS | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| • | Other Co | ommunications | | | | |
| DATE | METHOD | | COMMENTS | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Departmental Responsibilities



- Working with OSP to set up Contracts and Amendments
 - When new Notices are issued
 - When changes are needed
- Working with Sites to obtain proper documentation
 - At time of JIT, Award, Progress Reports, Closeout
- Working with OSP/Accounts Payable for POs
 - When new contracts are established and as amendments are issued to change amounts
- Working with sites and Financial Services to pay invoices
 - Monitor the timeliness of the invoices
 - Monitor the contents of the invoices
- Working with PIs to ensure scientific progress
 - Signatures on Invoices on a regular basis
- Working with PIs/OSP/Sponsor for prior approval changes as needed
 - Refer to your Notice of Award and Contract.
 - Anything that we need to request prior approval for will apply to the subcontracted site too
- Monitoring Carryforwards from budget years
 - Automatic or prior approval both from the Prime and UMMS

Departmental Tools



- UMMS Subrecipient Monitoring Guide
- UMMS Subrecipient Monitoring Record
- Departmental Forms
- Calendar Reminders
- Office of Sponsored Programs
- Office of Financial Services

APPENDIX

Guidance for Adjustments to Appointment Records in xTrain to Reflect Stipend Level Increases for Postdoctoral Trainees on Institutional Ruth L. Kirschstein National Research Service Awards (NRSA)

Notice Number: NOT-OD-17-057

Key Dates

Release Date: April 13, 2017

Related Announcements NOT-OD-17-002

Issued by National Institutes of Health (NIH)

Purpose

The purpose of this Notice is to provide additional guidance regarding the process recipients of Kirschstein-NRSA institutional training grants (T32, T35, T90, TL1) will need to follow in order to properly reflect adjustments to appointment records for trainees when the training grant received supplemental funding in response to NOT-OD-17-002.

This notice also alerts training grant awardees meeting the criteria established in NOT-OD-17-002 that they must submit applications by June 30th, 2017, in order to receive supplemental funding from NIH to accommodate stipend level increase.

Process for Institutional Training Grants:

As a general reminder, applicants may not increase the number of appointees under the current NRSA institutional training grant as a result of the supplemental funding notice. Applications for supplemental funding must reflect the current experience level of each postdoctoral appointee, which cannot be adjusted as a result of this notice.

Applicants must be careful to accurately identify the number of postdocs at each level and request an accurate, corresponding supplemental dollar amount. Applicants should only request funds to cover increases in stipends for postdoctoral trainees at experience levels 0, 1 and 2 from December 1, 2016 up to the end date of the period of appointment.

In order to accurately document the stipend level increase for currently appointed postdoctoral trainees, an amended appointment must be submitted through xTrain for each trainee as follows:

To amend a stipend within xTrain:

- On the Trainee Roster screen for the parent award (not the supplement award, which is listed above the parent award) select Amend 2271 from the Action Column for the desired Trainee.
- The system will open the 2271 form.
- Do not modify the Stipend Level or Salary drop-down value; instead enter the new stipend level into the Stipend/Salary/Other Compensation field. The amount entered should reflect the stipend that the trainee will have received for their period of appointment including the additional funds received from the supplement.
- You may route the form for Trainee verification, or submit the amendment to Agency for review.
- When submitting the 2271 to Agency, the system will prompt you for a comment, please indicate that this amendment is in reference to "Stipend increase per NOT-OD-17-002 and as reflected on 3T32IC00000S1".

*Appointments, re-appointments, and amended appointments documenting the stipend level increase must be initiated on the parent award. Recipients should not submit these appointment forms for the supplemental award within xTrain.

No additional funds may be expended on behalf of trainees identified within supplemental request until an amended appointment has been submitted and accepted in xTrain. If a recipient fails to submit these forms, NIH may take one or more enforcement actions, such as a decision to disallow costs or withhold a non-competing continuation award, consistent with NIHGPS Chapter 8.5.2.

For trainees with start dates that do not require amending, namely appointments that start after 12/01/2016 but are for FY2016, FY2015 or FY2014. Recipients will need to create the appointment or reappointment, select the appropriate stipend level, and then modify the stipend amount to reflect the correct amount (see item #3 above). Recipients will not be required to submit amended appointments for FY2017 appointments made on or after December 1, 2016, or for appointments for FY2016 and earlier fiscal years, where appointments are active on December 1, 2016, but where stipends were supplemented from institutional funds, rather than NRSA supplemental funds.

It is important for NIH to note, that for a subset of supplements issued in response to NOT-OD-17-002, the Type 3 supplement will not be issued in the same account as the parent award (pooled account) based on NIH's transition to P subaccounts in PMS. In these particular cases, recipients will be required to submit a separate FFR accounting for the supplemental funds awarded in the PMS subaccount no later than 90 days after the end of the calendar quarter in which the budget period ends.

Additional information on how to submit appointment forms in xTrain can be found using the <u>xTrain Appointment</u>, Re-Appointment, and Amendment Quick Reference Guide for Institution Users.

Inquiries

Please direct all inquiries to:

Division of Grants Policy Office of Policy for Extramural Research Administration (OPERA)

Phone: 301-435-0938

Email: GrantsPolicy@od.nih.gov

For any specific financial or grants management questions regarding the administrative supplement notice (PA-16-287) please contact the Grants Management Specialist or Grants Management Officer listed on the NoA of the most recent parent award.

Weekly TOC for this Announcement NIH Funding Opportunities and Notices

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institute of Health Center for Scientific Review

6701 Rockledge Drive Bethesda, Maryland 20892 Tel: 301-435-0715

April 10, 2017

| University of Massachusetts Medical School |
|--|
| |
| Dear Dr. |
| Your application entitled has been analyzed by the Division of Receipt and Referral at the Center for Scientific Review at the NIH. Your application has been found to contain information that should be within the page limits of the Research Strategy inappropriately placed in other sections of the application. Specifically, this information was found in Authentication of Key Biological and/or Chemical Resources section. Therefore, the application cannot proceed in the referral and review process. |
| Beginning with applications targeting due dates on or after January 25, 2010, NIH converted to a restructured application package and shorter page limits for most activity codes. These page limits must be adhered to and it is not permissible to add material to inappropriate sections (e.g. appendix , vertebrate animals etc) to circumvent the specified page limits. See: |
| •NOT-OD-07-018 New Limits on Appendix Materials (http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-07-018) to be replaced with |
| •NOT-OD-16-129 New Policy Eliminates Most Appendix Material for NIH/AHRQ/NIOSH |
| Applications Submitted for Due Dates On or After January 25, 2017 (|
| https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-16-129.html) |
| •NOT-OD-11-080 Reminder: Compliance with NIH Application Format and Content Instructions (|

We regret that we must withdraw your application at this time. The next due date is June 5, 2017. If you have any questions concerning this action, please contact me or anyone else in this office.

http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-11-080.html)
•NOT-OD-15-095 Reminder: NIH Policy on Application Compliance (
http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-15-095.html)



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institute of Health Center for Scientific Review

6701 Rockledge Drive Bethesda, Maryland 20892 Tel: 301-435-0715

April 14, 2017

| University of Massachusetts Medical School |
|---|
| |
| Worcester, MA 01655-0002 |
| |
| Dear Dr. |
| Your application (|
| has been identified as having Appendix content that is not compliant with |
| |
| NIH/AHRQ/CDC policy NOT-OD-16-129 (https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-16- |
| 129.html). Very limited items are allowed in appendices. In the case of your application, you have |
| included over 20 pages of data term explanations in 2 tables. Because this unallowable material is in |
| the Appendix, your application is being withdrawn. |
| For more information about this policy, see: |
| • • • |
| •NOT-OD-17-035: https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-17-035.html |

The next due date for your application is June 5, 2017. Please consider submitting a compliant application at that time.

•FAQs: https://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/appendix_policy.htm

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me or anyone else in this office.

Sincerely,

Division of Receipt and Referral Center for Scientific Review



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institute of Health Center for Scientific Review

6701 Rockledge Drive Bethesda, Maryland 20892 Tel: 301-435-0715

University of Massachusetts Medical School 55 Lake Avenue North Worcester, MA 01655-0002

Dear Dr.

THIS NOTE SERVES AS A WARNING AND NO ACTION IS REQUIRED AT THIS TIME.

During the review of your application entitled

NIH staff and/or

reviewers noted that one or more of the biosketches included in the application did not comply with the new biosketch format requirements (NOT-OD-15-032; http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-15-032.html). Applications with biosketches that do not follow the current guidelines for format and content are non-compliant. You should be mindful that non-compliance can have serious consequences. NIH may withdraw any application identified during the receipt, referral and review process that is not compliant with the instructions in the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide, the Funding Opportunity Announcement, and relevant NIH Guide Notices (see http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-15-095.html). Instructions for preparing a

http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-15-095.html). Instructions for preparing a compliant biosketch can be found at http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-15-032.html.

time. Indeed, as stated in NOT-OD-13-030, https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-13-030.html), you cannot submit updated biosketches after the submission of the grant application. If you have any questions regarding this correspondence, please contact the Scientific Review Officer who managed review of your application; that information can be found in eRA Commons or at the end of the meeting roster on your summary statement. Also, please feel free to contact anyone in this office, at the e-mail address provided below, if you need more clarification about implementation of this policy.

Your current application will not be withdrawn. There is no need to correct your biosketch(s) at this

The Division of Receipt and Referral csrdrr@mail.nih.gov

http://www.umassmed.edu/research/funding/sratoc/

UMMS Home | Intranet | People Search

Search All UMMS

Search for





Home

Basic Research Clinical Research Sponsored Programs Compliance

Research Cores Library

Intellectual Publications
Property



Contracts and Research Agreements

Contracts and Subcontracts

OSP leadership and teams review and negotiate research agreements and subcontracts. Please forward the following to OSP for review prior to the receipt of an award:

- For Contracts:
 - o a completed and fully signed PeopleSoft Routing Form
 - o a detailed internal budget worksheet
 - o a completed and signed Conflict of Interest Disclosure Summary for each UMMS key person
- For Subcontracts:
 - o the above, plus a Statement (or Scope) of Work
 - o OSP will generate Letter of Intent

These forms can be found on the OSP Forms page. Please contact research.funding@umassmed.edu for further information.

Subrecipient Monitoring

UMass Medical School is responsible for monitoring the programmatic and financial activities of its subrecipients in order to ensure proper stewardship of sponsor funds. Subawards are subject to federal and/or agency-specific regulations established by the prime sponsor.

This guidance has been developed to assist administrators at the department and central level with subrecipient monitoring. The use of these tools and scope of monitoring procedures should be determined by the PI and administrators based on the nature of the grant and the perceived risk associated with the subrecipient. All of the following forms are optional; however, additional monitoring efforts should always be implemented if there is any question about the subrecipient's ability to ensure proper use and financial management of sponsor funds during any stage of the award.

UMMS Subrecipient Monitoring Guide

Domestic Subrecipient Questionnaire

Foreign Subrecipient Questionnaire

UMMS Subrecipient Risk Assessment Matrix

UMMS Subrecipient Monitoring Record

UMMS Invoice Monitoring Guide

FDP Subrecipient or Contractor Determination Form

| Principal Investigator: | University Proposal Number: |
|---|--|
| | |
| Checklist to Determine Subrecipient or | r Contractor Classification |
| OBJECTIVE: Generally, the determination of the relationship with an narrative, budget justification, and other related proposal docume submission. When the relationship remains unclear, this form ma | ents, as well as through discussions with key personnel prior to proposal |
| DEFINITIONS FROM UNIFORM GUIDANCE (2 CFR, PART 200): | |
| | rd from a pass-through entity to carry out part of a Federal program; but does not t may also be a recipient of other Federal awards directly from a Federal awarding |
| Contractor: §200.23 Contractor means an entity that receives a contract as defined in §200.22 Contract means a legal instrument by which a non-Federal entity Federal award. | §200.22 Contract. purchases property or services needed to carry out the project or program under a |
| with the greatest number of marked characteristics indicates the loccasion there may be exceptions to the type of relationship indicates | narking all characteristics that apply to the outside entity. The section likely type of relationship the entity will have with the University. On ated by the completed checklist. In these situations, the substance of the of agreement between the University and the outside entity. Section 3 in determining the proper relationship classification. |
| NAME OF OUTSIDE ENTITY: | |
| SECTION 1 - SUBRECIPIENT Description: A subaward is for the purpose of carrying out a portion of a Characteristics which support the classification of the non-Federal entity | a Federal award and creates a Federal assistance relationship with the subrecipient. as a subrecipient include when the contrator: |
| Determines who is eligible to receive what Federal as: | ssistance; |
| Has its performance measured in relation to whether | r objectives of a Federal program were met; |
| 3. Has responsibility for programmatic decision making | 3; |
| 4. In accordance with its agreement, uses the Federal for authorizing statute, as opposed to providing goods o | unds to carry out a program for a public purpose specified in r services for the benefit of the pass-through entity. |
| Entities that include these characteristics are responsi specified in the Federal award. | ible for adherence to applicable Federal program requirements |
| SECTION 2 - CONTRACTOR | |
| | es for the non-Federal entity's own use and creates a procurement relationship with the reen the non-Federal entity and a contractor are when the non-Federal entity receiving |
| Provides the goods and services within normal busing | ness operations; |
| 2. Provides similar goods or services to many different | purchasers; |
| 3. Normally operates in a competitive environment; | |
| 4. Provides goods or services that are ancillary to the o | peration of the Federal program. |
| Entities that include these characteristics are not subj result of the agreement, though similar requirements | ect to compliance requirements of the Federal program as a may apply for other reasons. |
| CINAL DETERMINATION | |
| FINAL DETERMINATION: SUBRECIPIENT | CONTRACTOR |
| OPTIONAL - SECTION 3 - USE OF JUDGMENT (use only when Description: In determining whether an agreement between a pass-thro latter as a subrecipient or a contractor, the substance of the relationship the characteristics listed above may not be present in all cases, and the pagreement as a subaward or a procurement contract. Explanation of Use of Judgment Determination: | ugh entity and another non-Federal entity casts the is more important than the form of the agreement. All of |

FDP FINAL Revised 8/23/2016

Date:

Prepared By:

UMMS SUBAWARD INVOICE MONITORING GUIDE

How to Use: The guide is a list of 11 questions for departments to consider when monitoring subaward invoices for completeness and compliance with UMMS's policies.

- **1.** Are the expenses allowable per the subaward and the prime award? Remember the prime award requirements and budget restrictions flow down to the subrecipient.
- 2. Are the invoiced expenses included in the subaward budget? The subrecipient should only invoice for approved expenses per the subaward or ask for approval of budget changes when necessary to modify the original terms/budget.
- **3.** Are the expenses in the agreement consistent with the programmatic plan or work completed to date? The expenses invoiced should agree with the work incurred.
- **4.** Obtain Principal Investigator's (PI) approval and signature on the subrecipient invoice.
- **5.** Were all the expenses incurred within the subaward start and end dates? Ensure that the dates on the invoice are within the subaward dates.
- **6.** Are the cumulative expenses within the overall approved budget amount? Ensure that subrecipients are <u>not invoicing for amounts over the approved budget</u>.
- **7.** Are the invoice expenses per budget category in agreement with the budgeted amount per line item category?
- **8.** Do expenses appear to be based on actual expenses? Cost reimbursable subcontracts require invoicing **based on actual expenses only**.
- **9.** Does the invoice total correctly?
- **10.** Are the Facilities & Administration (F&A) costs calculated correctly with the correct and agreed upon rate for the subrecipient? Ensure the calculated F&A agrees with the methodology in the budget and applies the appropriate base (e.g., Modified Total Direct Cost) for F&A recovery.
- **11.** Does the invoice have an **institutional official signature** <u>and</u> contain the following statement: "I certify that all expenditures reported (or payment requested) are for appropriate purposes and in accordance with the provisions of the application and award documents."

REMEMBER: Most invoices do not include a large amount of detail. Ask the subrecipient for back-up documentation on specific budget line items if something does not appear correct.

IF THERE ARE ANY QUESTIONS ON THE INVOICES, DO NOT APPROVE UNTIL ALL ITEMS ARE APPROPRIATELY RESOLVED.

UMass Medical School Subrecipient Monitoring Record

How to use: The Subrecipient Monitoring Record can be used by departments to document subrecipient monitoring efforts and maintain an audit trail. Use of the tracking record is encouraged at all risk levels but is strongly encouraged for medium and high risk subrecipients.

| UN | lass Medical School | | Subrecipient | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| UMMS PI Name UMMS PS Awar UMMS Subawa | rd#: | Subrecipient Name: Subrecipient PI: Subrecipient Contact: | | | | | |
| Subreci | pient Period of Performance | Project Invoicing Frequency | | | | | |
| Start Date: | End Date: | Mo | onthly Quarterly | | | | |
| Name: | Individual Responsible for Ma Title: | nintaining this Monito | | | | | |
| | Scheduled Reporting Dates (base | ed on the terms of the | | | | | |
| DATE | COMMENTS | | ACTUAL DATE* | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Infor | mal Progress Reports Completed (these | | *dates entered as each report is submitted e place at least quarterly) | | | | |
| DATE | METHOD | | COMMENTS | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Other Con | nmunications | | | | | |
| DATE | METHOD | | COMMENTS | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | | | | | |

ACRONYMS AND TERMS USED TODAY OSP RA Update - 4/26/2017

| ACRONYM/TERM | DESCRIPTION |
|--------------|---|
| APR | Annual Progress Report |
| CSR | Center for Scientific Review (NIH) |
| eRA Commons | The eRA Commons is NIH's online interface where signing officials, principal investigators, trainees and post- |
| | docs at institutions/organizations can access and share administrative information relating to research grants |
| | and process prior approval requests. |
| NIH | National Institutes of Health |
| NOT | A Notice (Guide Notice) is an official NIH announcement relating to a change in policy, procedure, form, or |
| | system. Notices are posted on the NIH website and users can be notified via a variety of NIH listservs. You can |
| | search for notices and funding opportunities at the NIH Guide. |
| NRSA | National Research Service Award |
| OSP | Office of Sponsored Programs |
| PI | Principal Investigator |
| PRF | Proposal Routing Form |
| RAU | Research Administration Update |
| RPPR | Research Performance Progress Report |
| SO | Signing Official |
| SUMMIT | SUMMIT is the UMass Medical School's web based reporting tool. |
| T32 | Activity code for NIH's Institutional National Research Service Award |
| T35 | Activity code for NIH's NRSA Short -Term Research Training Award |
| T90 | Activity code for NIH's Interdisciplinary Research Training Award |
| TL1 | Activity code NIH's Linked Training Award (administratively linked to another project like a U54 or UL1 |
| xTRACT | Extramural Trainee Reporting and Career Tracking (xTRACT) is a module within eRA Commons used by |
| | applicants, grantees, and assistants to create research training tables for inclusion in progress reports and |
| | institutional training grant applications. |