MEX-3 Is a KH Domain Protein That Regulates Blastomere Identity in Early C. elegans Embryos
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Summary

After the first division of the C. elegans embryo, the posterior blastomere can produce numerous muscles while the anterior blastomere cannot. We show here that maternal-effect lethal mutations in the gene mex-3 cause descendants of the anterior blastomere to produce muscles by a pattern of development similar to that of a descendant of the wild-type posterior blastomere. mex-3 encodes a probable RNA-binding protein that is distributed unequally in early embryos and that is a component of germline-specific granules called P granules. We propose that MEX-3 contributes to anterior-posterior asymmetry by regulating one or more mRNAs involved in specifying the fate of the posterior blastomere.

Introduction

The early cells, or blastomeres, in many animal embryos undergo distinct patterns of differentiation when isolated and cultured separately. For example, if the first two blastomeres of the C. elegans embryo are separated, the anterior blastomere, AB, does not produce muscles while the posterior blastomere, P₁, produces numerous muscles (Laufer et al., 1980; Priess and Thompson, 1987). Since these differences do not require interactions between the blastomeres, they have been described as being cell-intrinsic, or cell-autonomous, and are thought to result from the action of factors that are distributed unequally in the early embryo.

Recent studies have provided molecular evidence for anterior-posterior asymmetry in the C. elegans embryo prior to the first cell division. The PAR-3 protein is localized to the anterior cortex of the 1-cell stage embryo, and the PAR-1 protein is localized in a reciprocal pattern to the posterior cortex (Etemad-Moghadam et al., 1995; Guo and Kemphues, 1995). Genetic studies indicate that PAR-3 and PAR-1 are required for many of the differences between the AB and P₁ blastomeres after the first cleavage of the embryo (Kemphues et al., 1988; Kirby et al., 1990), but it is not yet understood how the cortically localized PAR proteins result in anterior-posterior differences in gene expression.

Two nuclear proteins have been identified that may directly regulate gene expression and that are present at high levels in the P₁ blastomere, but at low or undetectable levels in the AB blastomere. SKN-1 is a transcription factor required for the proper development of one of the P₁ daughters (Bowerman et al., 1992, 1993; Blackwell et al., 1994), and PIE-1 is a novel protein required for the development of the other P₁ daughter (Mello et al., 1992, 1996). If SKN-1 and PIE-1 were the only regulators that made P₁ different from AB, the P₁ and AB blastomeres should have identical patterns of development in skn-1;pie-1 double mutants. Instead, the P₁ blastomere in a skn-1;pie-1 double mutant embryo produces numerous muscles while the AB blastomere does not (Mello et al., 1992). Thus there must be at least one additional anterior-posterior asymmetry in the C. elegans embryo that prevents AB from producing muscles.

In this paper we show that mutations in the mex-3 gene result in the AB blastomere producing numerous muscles. Previous studies have shown that mutations in the mex-1 gene can also cause AB to produce muscles (Mello et al., 1992; Schnabel et al., 1996). In mex-1 mutants, this defect appears to result from inappropriate, anterior expression of the SKN-1 transcription factor (Mello et al., 1992; Bowerman et al., 1993). In contrast, we show here that mex-3 mutants do not appear to misexpress SKN-1 in AB and that the AB blastomere in a mex-3 mutant does not require skn-1(+) activity to produce muscles. We therefore conclude that mex-3(+) functions in a second asymmetry that normally prevents AB from producing muscles. We show that the mex-3 gene encodes a probable RNA-binding protein, suggesting that mex-3(+) influences anterior-posterior differences in muscle development by controlling the expression of one or more RNAs in the early embryo.

Results

The mex-3 Locus

In wild-type embryogenesis almost all of the body-wall muscles are produced by descendants of the P₁ blastomere (Figure 1). In genetic screens for maternal-effect lethal mutations, we identified two classes of mutants with markedly abnormal patterns of body-wall muscles. In wild-type embryos, body-wall muscles are located inside the body and are covered by hypodermal cells (Sulston et al., 1983). In both classes of mutants, morphogenesis was severely abnormal and body-wall muscles were present on the external surface of the embryo. The first class of mutants corresponded to new alleles of the par genes. The par genes have been described previously and appear to function in establishing the
Initial anterior–posterior polarity of the embryo; the early blastomeres in par mutants have abnormal sizes and cleavage patterns (Kemphues et al., 1988; Kirby et al., 1990; Morton et al., 1992; Cheng et al., 1995). The second class of 16 mutants had early blastomeres that appeared relatively normal in size and cleavage pattern. Six of these mutants had mutations in the mex-1 gene, which has been described previously (Mello et al., 1992; Schnabel et al., 1996). The remaining 10 mutants define a new gene that we call mex-3 (mex = muscle excess).

All mex-3 alleles result in fully penetrant, recessive, strict maternal-effect embryonic lethality, and all alleles result in similar terminal phenotypes (see Experimental Procedures for genetic tests). The results described in this paper are from an analysis of the allele mex-3(zu155) unless indicated otherwise; embryos from mex-3(zu155) mothers do not contain any detectable MEX-3 protein or mex-3 mRNA (see below). For simplicity, we refer to embryos from homozygous mutant mothers as mex-3 mutants. We refer to body-wall muscles as simply “muscles,” and all other types of muscle by specific names, such as pharyngeal muscle.

mex-3 Mutations Cause AB to Produce Muscles

Terminal stage mex-3 mutant embryos appear to lack some of the tissues normally produced by the AB blastomere, including neurons and the anterior half of the pharynx (Figure 2). In the regions where these tissues form in wild-type embryos, mex-3 mutants instead have numerous muscles and hypodermal cells (Figure 2C). We tested whether the AB blastomere was the source of these extra muscles by using a laser microbeam to kill the P1 blastomere, thus allowing only AB to develop. A wild-type AB blastomere produced neurons and hypodermal cells in control experiments, but either few or no muscles (Figure 3A; Table 1). In contrast, a mex-3 mutant AB blastomere produced numerous muscles and hypodermal cells (compare Figures 3C and 3A; Table 1).

Previous studies have shown that mex-1 mutations cause the AB blastomere to produce muscles, apparently due to the inappropriate expression of the SKN-1 transcription factor in AB (Mello et al., 1992; Bowerman et al., 1993). We therefore asked if SKN-1 protein accumulated inappropriately in AB descendants in mex-3 mutants, and whether skn-1(–) activity was required for these AB descendants to produce muscles. We stained mex-3 mutant embryos with antibodies specific for SKN-1 protein and found that these embryos had a wild-type distribution of SKN-1 (data not shown). We next constructed and examined mex-3;skn-1 double mutants using skn-1(zu67), a nonsense mutation that should result in a nonfunctional partial protein lacking the SKN-1 DNA-binding domain (Bowerman et al., 1993; Blackwell et al., 1994; C. Schubert, B. B., and J. R. P., unpublished data). We found that AB produced numerous muscle cells in mex-3;skn-1 double mutant embryos (Figure 3E), indicating that the AB-derived muscles in mex-3 single

---

**Figure 1. Cleavage Patterns and Fates of Early Blastomeres**

Schematic drawings of early embryos; anterior is left. AB and its descendants are outlined in bold. Tissues made by the P1 granddaughters C, P, E, and MS are listed. For a detailed description of the C. elegans lineage see Sulston et al. (1983).

---

**Figure 2. Wild-Type and mex-3 Embryos**

Photomicrographs of (A) wild-type and (C) mex-3(zu155) embryos taken 14 hr after first cleavage at 20°C. (B) and (D) are schematic diagrams of embryos shown in (A) and (C), respectively. The wild-type embryo has elongated into a worm and is near hatching. The mex-3 embryo has not undergone body morphogenesis and contains cavities filled with muscle processes (small arrows). Pharyngeal tissue (large arrows) is indicated in both embryos. Wild-type embryos contain two germ cells; these are large cells (arrowhead in [A]; second germ cell is not visible). mex-3 embryos often have 3–6 cells that morphologically resemble germ cells; the mex-3 embryo shown has four such cells (arrowheads in [C]). Eggs are approximately 50 μm in length.
AB normally produces pharyngeal cells and intestinal valve cells (valve cells) through cell–cell interactions with P₁ descendants. P₁ descendants were allowed to interact with AB descendants, then killed with a laser microbeam so that only AB development could be scored (see Experimental Procedures). The mutant alleles used in these experiments were mex-3(zu155), skn-1(zu67) and par-1(e2012). In addition to the cell types listed above, mex-3 mutant AB blastomeres also produce hypodermis (56/56).

AB Descendants Adopt C-like Fates in mex-3 Mutants

In wild-type embryogenesis the fates of many AB descendants are determined through a series of cell–cell interactions (for review, see Schnabel, 1996). In the presence of the appropriate signaling cells, a wild-type AB blastomere can produce pharyngeal tissue and valve cells; these tissues are not produced when the signaling cells, or the precursors to the signaling cells, are killed with a laser microbeam. We found that the mex-3 mutant AB blastomeres did not produce pharyngeal tissue or valve cells in the presence of the signaling cells (Table 1). In all experiments, these AB descendants appeared to produce only muscles and hypodermal cells (Tables 1 and 2), as do AB descendants when cell signaling is prevented by killing P₁ (Figure 3C). The interactions that determine the fates of wild-type AB descendants involve a receptor, GLP-1, that is expressed on AB descendants and a ligand, APX-1, that is expressed on one of the signaling cells (Evans et al., 1994; Mickey et al., 1996). We stained mex-3 mutants with antibodies against each of the AB blastomeres after the sister blastomere was killed; staining is with mAb 5.6 (Miller et al., 1983). A wild-type AB blastomere produces few or no muscles (A, arrow points to the cell body of one of two muscles), while a wild-type P₁ blastomere (B) produces numerous muscles. Both the AB and P₁ blastomeres from mex-3(C and D), mex-3;skn-1(E and F), or mex-3;par-1;skn-1(I and J) mutant embryos produce numerous muscles. Muscles are not produced by most skn-1;par-1 mutant AB blastomeres (G; n = 7/8), or any skn-1;par-1 mutant P₁ blastomeres (H; n = 11/11). Of nonoperated skn-1;par-1 mutant embryos, 80% do not produce muscles (Bowerman et al., 1993). Mutant alleles used in these experiments were: mex-3(zu155), skn-1(zu67), and par-1(e2012).

**Table 1. Development of the AB Blastomere**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pharynx Cells</th>
<th>Valve Cells</th>
<th>Neurons*</th>
<th>&gt; 4 Muscless</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>16/16</td>
<td>32/32</td>
<td>0/32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mex-3</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>0/23</td>
<td>0/19</td>
<td>19/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mex-3; skn-1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0/13</td>
<td>13/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mex-3; skn-1; par-1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4/7</td>
<td>8/8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Partial embryos were scored positive for neurons only if they produced a large cluster of neurons. In these experiments, mex-3 mutant AB blastomeres produced few, if any, neurons.

**Figure 3. Muscles Production from AB or P₁**

Immunofluorescence micrographs of muscle cells in partial embryos derived from either the AB (left column), or P₁ (right column) blastomere after the sister blastomere was killed; staining is with mAb 5.6 (Miller et al., 1983). A wild-type AB blastomere produces few or no muscle cells (A, arrow points to the cell body of one of two muscles), while a wild-type P₁ blastomere (B) produces numerous muscles. Both the AB and P₁ blastomeres from mex-3(C and D), mex-3;skn-1(E and F), or mex-3;par-1;skn-1(I and J) mutant embryos produce numerous muscles. Muscles are not produced by most skn-1;par-1 mutant AB blastomeres (G; n = 7/8), or any skn-1;par-1 mutant P₁ blastomeres (H; n = 11/11). Of nonoperated skn-1;par-1 mutant embryos, 80% do not produce muscles (Bowerman et al., 1993). Mutant alleles used in these experiments were: mex-3(zu155), skn-1(zu67), and par-1(e2012).
Table 2. Development of AB and P1 Granddaughters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blastomere</th>
<th>Wild-type</th>
<th>mex-3(zu155)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AB Granddaughters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABal 0/12</td>
<td>12/12</td>
<td>11/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABAr 0/8</td>
<td>8/8</td>
<td>13/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABpr 0/16</td>
<td>16/16</td>
<td>17/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABpr 0/19</td>
<td>19/19</td>
<td>17/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1 Granddaughters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 7/7</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3 17/17</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 0/10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS 10/10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16/16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After killing all other blastomeres with a laser microbeam, the blastomere listed was allowed to develop for 20 hr at 15°C, then fixed and immunostained to identify muscles and neurons (see Experimental Procedures). In all experiments on wild-type or mex-3 mutant embryos, AB descendants also produced hypodermis. Scoring for muscle was as described in Table 1. In addition to the cell types listed above, the P1 granddaughters produce the following cell types: C produced hypodermal cells (20/20), P3 produced germ cells (18/18), E produced intestinal cells (8/8) and MS produced pharyngeal cells (16/16), ND, not determined.

4) divide into posterior daughters that are muscle precursors and anterior daughters that are predominantly hypodermal precursors. In mex-3 mutants, the daughters of the AB great-granddaughters (such as ABalpa in Figure 4) divide into posterior daughters that produce muscle cells and anterior daughters that produce predominantly hypodermal cells. These results suggest that in mex-3 mutant embryos, the eight AB great-granddaughters are adopting a pattern of development similar to a wild-type C-blastomere. Thus a pattern of development that normally occurs in the posterior of a wild-type embryo occurs in the anterior of mex-3 mutant embryos.

mex-3 Encodes a Protein with KH Domains

To begin a molecular analysis of the mex-3 locus we searched for spontaneous alleles of mex-3 in a mutator strain with mobile transposons (Mori et al., 1988). Four spontaneous mex-3 alleles were isolated in this screen, and a novel Tc1 transposon insertion that comapped with the mex-3(zu186::Tc1) mutation was identified (see Experimental Procedures). The genomic DNA flanking the transposon insertion was isolated and used as a probe to identify potential mex-3 genomic and cDNA clones. Sequence analysis revealed a single gene that spans about 7 kb with the inferred intron/exon boundaries shown in Figure 5A. The Tc1 associated with the mex-3(zu166::Tc1) mutation is inserted in the third exon of this gene (Figure 5A). We found that five other mex-3 mutant alleles have DNA polymorphisms associated with this locus: zu176::Tc1 is a complex DNA rearrangement associated with a novel Tc1 insertion in the third exon (data not shown), or20 is a 419 bp deletion that removes the first 92 bp of coding sequence (Figure 5A), and zu205, zu208, and or6 are missense mutations (Figure 5D). In addition, injection of antisense mex-3 RNA into the gonad of wild-type hermaphrodites produces embryos that have a mex-3 phenotype (data not shown). These results indicate that we have identified the mex-3 gene.

The mex-3 cDNA sequence contains an SL1 transcribed leader sequence (Krause and Hirsh, 1987) at its 5' end and has a single open reading frame of 415 amino acids (Figure 5B). The predicted MEX-3 protein contains two 70±amino acid regions that are 40% identical to each other (shaded residues in Figure 5B). Database searches indicate that these repeated sequences correspond to a previously identified protein motif called a KH domain (Figure 5C) (Siomi et al., 1993). KH domains were first described in the hnRNP K protein, a pre-mRNA-binding protein (Siomi et al., 1993). The KH domain has now been found in several proteins that are known to interact with RNA, and there is evidence that this motif constitutes a single-stranded RNA binding domain (Ito et al., 1994; Leffers et al., 1995; Liu and Hanna, 1995; Urlaub et al., 1995). Mutations in several KH domain-containing proteins lead to developmental defects. These include the human fragile-X and mental retardation protein, FMR1 (Ashley et al., 1993; Gibson et al., 1993), the Drosophila protein BICAUDAL-C (Mahon et al., 1995), and the C. elegans protein GLD-1 (Jones and Schedl, 1995). A search of the nucleotide databases identified several human embryonic expressed sequence tags (ESTs) with extensive similarity to mex-3. The human sequences appear to represent a...
Table 3. Cell Differentiation in mex-3 Mutant Embryos

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell Name</th>
<th>Fate in Wild-Type</th>
<th>Fate in mex-3</th>
<th>C equivalent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABala</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>paapp</td>
<td>2 neur, 1 death</td>
<td>1 hyp</td>
<td>1 hyp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pappa</td>
<td>2 neur, 1 death</td>
<td>1 hyp</td>
<td>1 hyp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABalp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aaaa</td>
<td>3 neur, 1 death</td>
<td>2 hyp</td>
<td>2 hyp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aaapa</td>
<td>1 neur, 1 death</td>
<td>1 hyp</td>
<td>1 hyp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aapp</td>
<td>2 hyp, 2 neur</td>
<td>1 hyp, 1 neur</td>
<td>1 hyp, 1 neur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apaa</td>
<td>2 neur, 1 hyp, 1 death</td>
<td>4 mus</td>
<td>4 mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>appa</td>
<td>3 neur, 2 death</td>
<td>4 mus</td>
<td>4 mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>appa</td>
<td>1 neur, 2 death</td>
<td>2 mus</td>
<td>2 mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>app</td>
<td>3 neur, 1 death</td>
<td>4 mus</td>
<td>4 mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>paaa</td>
<td>2 neur, 1 death</td>
<td>2 hyp</td>
<td>2 hyp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pppp</td>
<td>3 neur, 1 death</td>
<td>2 mus</td>
<td>2 mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABara</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>paaaaa</td>
<td>2 phar</td>
<td>1 hyp</td>
<td>1 hyp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>paap</td>
<td>3 phar, 1 death</td>
<td>2 hyp</td>
<td>2 hyp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pappa</td>
<td>1 phar, 1 death</td>
<td>1 hyp</td>
<td>1 hyp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppaaaa</td>
<td>1 neur</td>
<td>1 mus</td>
<td>1 mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppap</td>
<td>2 neur</td>
<td>2 mus</td>
<td>2 mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppaaaa</td>
<td>1 neur</td>
<td>1 mus</td>
<td>1 mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppppa</td>
<td>2 neur</td>
<td>2 mus</td>
<td>2 mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppppp</td>
<td>2 neur, 1 death</td>
<td>2 mus</td>
<td>2 mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABpla</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aapp</td>
<td>2 hyp</td>
<td>1 hyp, 1 neur</td>
<td>1 hyp, 1 neur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apaaa</td>
<td>1 hyp, 1 neur</td>
<td>2 mus</td>
<td>2 mus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABplp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aaaa</td>
<td>3 neur, 1 exc. duct</td>
<td>2 hyp</td>
<td>2 hyp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aaap</td>
<td>4 neur, 1 death</td>
<td>2 hyp</td>
<td>2 hyp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aapp</td>
<td>2 neur, 1 death</td>
<td>1 hyp</td>
<td>1 hyp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>paaa</td>
<td>2 neur, 2 deaths</td>
<td>2 hyp</td>
<td>2 hyp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>papa</td>
<td>5 neur</td>
<td>2 hyp</td>
<td>2 hyp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The name of each cell analyzed is listed in the first column, its fate in wild-type embryogenesis is listed in the second column, and its fate in a mex-3 mutant is listed in the third column. The fourth column shows the fate of the lineally equivalent descendant of a wild-type C blastomere. For example, if ABara in a mex-3 mutant were developing similar to a wild-type C blastomere, than ABara ppppp would be the lineal homolog of Cpppp. In contrast, a wild-type ABarapppp produces two neurons and a programmed cell death. In three cases, the axes of a cell division being followed was not anterior-posterior, but was instead left-right. It was therefore impossible to assign an accurate fate for the wild-type ABxxx lineal homolog. These were ABalppalaa and ABalppalpa, which both made one muscle cell in mex-3, and ABarappal, which made one hypodermal cell in mex-3. In wild-type embryos, Cppp produces 8 muscle cells and Cpapa produces 2 hypodermal cells. Hyp, hypodermal cells; mus, muscles; neur, neurons; death, programmed cell death.

single gene of unknown function that can encode a protein 83% identical to the predicted MEX-3 protein over the 131-amino acid region shown in Figure 5C. This human gene is therefore a candidate homolog of mex-3. We found that the three missense mutations in mex-3 all alter the second KH domain of the predicted MEX-3 protein (Figure 5D). The mex-3(zu205) and mex-3(zu208) mutations substitute Asp (GAA) and Arg (AGA), respectively, for Gly (GGA) at position 153, and mex-3(or6) substitutes Asp (GAA) for Gly (GGA) at position 156. The Gly residues altered by these mutations are in positions that are conserved in all KH domains described to date. Recent NMR structural analysis of a KH domain indicates that these Gly residues are part of an exposed flexible loop that may directly contact RNA (Musco et al., 1996).

**mex-3 mRNA and Protein Are Distributed Asymmetrically in Early Embryos**

We determined the localization of the mex-3 gene products in hermaphrodite gonads and in early embryos. The localization of mex-3 mRNA was determined by whole-mount in situ hybridization (Figure 6). The wild-type hermaphrodite gonad contains a linear array of developing germ cells and maturing oocytes (Figure 6B). mex-3 mRNA is detected in the syncytial core of the distal gonad arm (Figure 6A) and is distributed uniformly in mature oocytes and in early 1-cell stage embryos (Figures 6A and 6C). However, in late 1-cell embryos mex-3 mRNA is much more abundant in the anterior half of the embryo than in the posterior half (Figure 6D). After cytokinesis the anterior blastomere, AB, appears to have more mex-3 mRNA than the posterior blastomere, P, (Figure 6E). In 4-cell stage embryos, both AB daughters have more mex-3 mRNA than do the P, daughters (Figure 6F). After the 4-cell stage, mex-3 mRNA disappears rapidly from the embryo in a pattern similar to that described previously for several unrelated maternal mRNAs in C. elegans. This pattern is thought to result from a general mechanism for RNA degradation in the soma and/or RNA stabilization in the germline lineage (Seydoux and Fire, 1994).

To examine the distribution of MEX-3 protein, we generated rabbit polyclonal and mouse monoclonal antibodies against full-length MEX-3 (see Experimental Procedures). All antisera result in identical staining patterns. In wild-type embryos, MEX-3 is present as a cytoplasmic protein that shows dynamic changes in its distribution.
Figure 5. The mex-3 Locus  
(A) Physical map of the mex-3 region showing molecular lesions described in the text.  
(B) Nucleotide and predicted amino acid sequence of the mex-3 cDNA. 5' sequence corresponding to SL1 is shown in lower case letters. The zu166::Tc1 transposon insertion is at position 426 (bold type). KH domains are shown shaded. The 3' UTR shown may not be complete because the end of the cDNA coincides with a genomic poly-A sequence (brackets). Direct repeats of unknown significance in the 3' UTR are underlined.  
(C) KH domain sequences of MEX-3, a potential human homolog, and hnRNP K (Siomi et al., 1993). Highly conserved amino acids in all KH domain proteins are boxed in black (adapted from Siomi et al., 1994). The human sequence was determined by sequencing EST88186 (data not shown and Adams et al., personal communication).  
(D) KH domain changes caused by three mex-3 missense mutations.

among blastomeres during the early cleavage stages. These expression patterns are shown in Figure 7G. MEX-3 protein is detected first in oocytes shortly after cellularization, and the amount of MEX-3 protein appears to increase in oocytes as they mature (Figure 7A). After fertilization, MEX-3 is distributed evenly throughout the cytoplasm of the 1-cell stage embryo (data not shown). By the end of the 2-cell stage, however, MEX-3 protein appears more abundant in the AB blastomere than in the P1 blastomere (Figure 7B). In 4-cell stage embryos, MEX-3 appears more abundant in the AB daughters than in the P1 daughters (Figure 7C). After the 4-cell stage, the MEX-3 protein disappears from the embryo in a spatial and temporal pattern that is similar to the disappearance of mex-3 mRNA (Figures 7D–7F).

MEX-3 Is a P Granule Component  
In addition to the general cytoplasmic MEX-3 staining described above, we found that each of the MEX-3 antisera specifically stained distinct particles in P1, and in the P1 descendants P2, P3, and P4 (for example, note the P3 blastomere in Figure 7D). These particles are not detected in mex-3 mutant embryos that lack MEX-3 (data not shown). The sizes and distributions of these particles are similar to previously described structures called P granules (Strome and Wood, 1982). To determine the relationship between the MEX-3-containing particles and P granules, we stained early embryos with MEX-3 antisera. The staining patterns were similar to the disappearance of mex-3 mRNA (Figures 7D–7F).

MEX-3 antisera did not stain P granules in the gonad (Figures 8C and 8D). These endogenous P granules were similar in size and distribution to previously described structures called P granules (Strome and Wood, 1982). To determine the relationship between the MEX-3-containing particles and P granules, we stained early embryos with MEX-3 antisera. The staining patterns were similar to the disappearance of mex-3 mRNA (Figures 7D–7F).
epitope is masked in P granules in the gonad and at later stages of embryogenesis.

Because MEX-3 protein associates with P granules in the early embryo, we asked if the distribution of P granules and the development of blastomeres that inherit them are affected in embryos that lack MEX-3. We found that the distribution of P granules was indistinguishable from wild-type embryos in 2- and 4-cell stage mex-3 mutants (n = 46 and 49, respectively). However, in 16% of the 8-cell stage mex-3 mutants examined, P granules were found in both P1, and its sister C (13/83). In 76% of the 28-cell stage mex-3 mutants examined, P granules were found in both daughters of P1, the P1 and D blastomeres (62/82). Although we have not detected defects in mex-3 mutants in the development of the P1 descendants MS, E, or C (Table 2), we have observed defects in the development of P1. In mex-3 mutant embryos, both daughters of P1 often appear to produce several cells that morphologically resemble the germ cells normally produced only by the P1 daughter (see Figure 2; Table 2 and data not shown). This result suggests that in some mex-3 mutants, the D daughter is adopting the fate of P1. Thus, in wild-type embryos, MEX-3 protein associates with P granules, appears to play a role in their proper segregation, and is required for the proper development of P1, a blastomere that inherits them.

MEX-3 Negatively Regulates Muscle Development

We have shown that mex-3 mutants have a major defect in the development of the anterior blastomere, AB, and a variable defect in one of the posterior descendants of P1, the P1 blastomere: the AB blastomere produces muscles inappropriately in mex-3 mutants, and at least some P1 blastomeres lose the ability to produce muscles. The expression pattern of MEX-3 is complex and is compatible with several models for MEX-3 function. It is possible that MEX-3 has distinct functions in AB and P1, for example inhibiting muscle development in AB and inhibiting germ-cell development in certain P1 descendants. Alternatively, MEX-3 could have a single function that is required for the proper development of both AB and P1. For example, MEX-3 might function to localize an activator of muscle development to P1. Because both models require some form of anterior-posterior asymmetry (i.e., the asymmetric localization of either an activator or repressor of muscle development), it should be possible to distinguish between them by analyzing muscle development in embryos that lack anterior-posterior asymmetry. If MEX-3 localizes a positive regulator, then mislocalization of this factor should cause AB and P1, to produce muscles. Alternatively, if MEX-3 acts as a negative regulator of muscle development in AB, then mislocalization of MEX-3 activity might inhibit muscle production in both AB and P1.

Mutations in the maternal gene par-1 disrupt almost all of the known AB/P1 asymmetries in the embryo. We found that MEX-3 was distributed equally between AB and P1 at the 2-cell stage in par-1 mutants (Figure 7H) and distributed equally between all blastomeres at the 4-cell stage (Figure 7I). We therefore asked whether AB and P1 could produce muscles in a par-1 mutant strain in which the SKN-1 contribution to muscle development was blocked by a mutation in the skn-1 gene. We found that neither AB nor P1 could produce muscles in most skn-1;par-1 double mutants (Figures 3G and 3H) (see also Bowerman et al., 1993). To determine if mex-3(+) activity was preventing muscle development in the double mutant, we constructed and analyzed mex-3;skn-1;par-1 mutant embryos. In these triple mutant embryos, we found that both AB and P1 produced numerous muscles (Figures 3I and 3J; Table 1). This result indicates that mex-3(+) activity prevents the development of muscle in skn-1;par-1 double mutant embryos and is consistent with the hypothesis that MEX-3 functions in wild-type AB blastomeres to prevent muscle development.
Figure 7. Distribution of MEX-3 Protein
Immunofluorescence micrographs of a wild-type hermaphrodite gonad (A) and early embryos stained for MEX-3 protein with monoclonal antibody D45D2.

(A) Low magnification image of a hermaphrodite gonad oriented as in Figure 6A. (B–F) High magnification pictures of early embryos oriented as diagrammed in Figure 1; all photos are equivalent exposures. (B) 2-cell embryo. Note granules in P1 cytoplasm. (C) 4-cell embryo. During the 8-cell (D), 12-cell (E), and 15-cell (F) stages MEX-3 disappears from all AB descendants but persists transiently in P1 descendants; arrow points to the P1 blastomere. (G) Summary of MEX-3 distribution. Lineage diagram to the 28-cell stage of embryogenesis is shown. Bold lines indicate presence of MEX-3 protein. Letters at right correspond to panels shown above. In 2-cell (H) and 4-cell (I) par-1(e2012) embryo, MEX-3 is present at similar levels in all blastomeres.

Discussion

After the first division of the fertilized egg, the sister blastomeres AB and P1 have intrinsic differences in their abilities to produce muscles; P1 can produce muscles but AB cannot (Lauffer et al., 1980; Cowan and McIntosh, 1985; Priess and Thomson, 1987). The unequal distribution of the SKN-1 protein appears to account for part, but not all, of this asymmetry (Mello et al., 1992; Bowerman et al., 1993). In this paper we have provided evidence that MEX-3 has a role in AB/P1 asymmetry that is independent of SKN-1 localization or activity. We have shown that MEX-3 contains probable RNA-binding motifs, suggesting that MEX-3 may regulate the expression of one or more RNAs in the early embryo. In the accompanying manuscript, Hunter and Kenyon (1996) show that the homeodomain protein PAL-1, the C. elegans homolog of Drosophila CAUDAL (Waring and Kenyon, 1991), is expressed inappropriately in AB descendants in mex-3 mutants, suggesting that at least part of MEX-3’s function is to regulate the pal-1 mRNA.

Muscle Development in C. elegans Embryos

In wild-type development, three of the four P1 granddaughters produce muscles (see Figure 1). The MS granddaughter of P1 produces primarily muscles and pharyngeal cells, the C granddaughter produces muscles and hypodermal cells, and the P3 granddaughter produces muscles and germ cells (Sulston et al., 1983). Previous studies had suggested that the P1 granddaughters must acquire the ability to produce muscles through
but not for C or P3, to produce muscles (Schnabel, 1994; molecular mechanisms of protein localization become plays a role in specifying the MS pattern of development, two AB/P1 asymmetries in the distribution of MEX-3 itself et al., 1996). These studies have suggested that SKN-1 and Kemphues, 1995). Alternatively, we have observed that MEX-3 functions in generating muscle asymmetry identified that cause AB descendants to develop with descendants, but not in P1, what controls the AB/P1 characteristics of a wild-type MS blastomere, apparently due to the inappropriate expression of SKN-1 in AB (Mello et al., 1992; Bowerman et al., 1993; Schnabel et al., 1996). These studies have suggested that SKN-1 plays a role in specifying the MS pattern of development, but that SKN-1 is not involved in specifying the C or P3 patterns. Similarly, the GLP-1 protein, which is related to Drosophila NOTCH, and the POP-1 protein, which is related to lymphoid-specific transcriptional regulators in vertebrates, have been shown to be required for MS, but not for C or P3, to produce muscles (Schnabel, 1994; Lin et al., 1995).

We have shown here that mutations in mex-3 cause AB descendants to develop with characteristics of a wild-type C blastomere. This observation suggests that wild-type embryos contain a factor(s) that specifies the C fate and that mex-3(-) prevents this factor from functioning in AB or AB descendants. These AB descendants that adopt the C-like fate are born at the same time as the C blastomere itself is born (see Figure 7G). This result suggests that there is a limited time in embryogenesis when the C fate can be specified or expressed and that mex-3 mutations do not affect the timing of this event. Thus mex-3(-) appears to function in determining the spatial, but not temporal, expression of the C fate in wild-type embryos.

**MEX-3 and the Regulation of Maternal mRNAs**

Our molecular analysis of mex-3 indicates that the MEX-3 protein contains two probable RNA-binding motifs, called KH domains. Cross-linking studies have demonstrated direct interactions between RNA and the KH motifs found in the ribosomal protein S3 (Urlaub et al., 1995), and in the Escherichia coli protein NusA (Liu and Hanna, 1995). Mutational analysis has demonstrated that the KH domains in the vertebrate proteins hnRNP K and FMR1 are essential for RNA binding in vitro (Siomi et al., 1994). We have shown that missense mutations that alter invariant residues in the KH domains of MEX-3 cause phenotypes indistinguishable from mutations that prevent expression of MEX-3 altogether. It is therefore likely that MEX-3 regulates C development by regulating RNA expression, localization, or metabolism.

We have used par-1 mutant embryos to examine possible MEX-3 functions. The AB and P1 blastomeres in par-1 mutants appear to have nearly symmetrical patterns of development and have identical expression patterns of almost all molecular markers reported thus far, including MEX-3 itself (Kemphues et al., 1988; Kirby et al., 1990; Bowerman et al., 1993; Guo and Kemphues, 1995; this work). If MEX-3 localizes posteriorly an mRNA required for C development, we would anticipate that this mRNA would not be localized in par-1 mutants. This model predicts that AB and P1 should both produce C-like muscles in par-1;skn-1 mutants independent of mex-3 function. Instead, we found that neither AB nor P1 could produce such muscles in most par-1;skn-1 mutants when MEX-3 was present. We thus propose that MEX-3 functions in generating muscle asymmetry by repressing, in AB descendants, the expression of an mRNA(s) that normally specifies the C pattern of development.

If MEX-3 inhibits a C pattern of development in AB descendants, but not in P1, what controls the AB/P1 asymmetry of MEX-3 function? It is possible that the activity of MEX-3 is regulated by asymmetrically localized factors such as the P1-specific kinase PAR-1 (Guo and Kemphues, 1995). Alternatively, we have observed two AB/P1 asymmetries in the distribution of MEX-3 itself that could result in an asymmetry in MEX-3 activity. First, MEX-3 appears to be present at higher levels in AB and the AB daughters, than in P1 or the P1 daughters. Second, a large amount of MEX-3 protein in P1, and in P1 descendants, is localized to P granules. As the molecular mechanisms of protein localization become better understood, it should be possible to alter the expression patterns of MEX-3 to test whether these asymmetries are functionally significant.

**MEX-3 and PAL-1**

C. elegans embryos control the expression of maternal mRNAs both temporally and spatially (Bowerman et al., 1993; Evans et al., 1994; Seydoux and Fire, 1994; Lin et al., 1995; Hunter and Kenyon, 1996; Mello et al., 1996; Mickey et al., 1996). The genes pop-1, skn-1, pie-1, gfp-1, apx-1, pal-1, and mex-3 are all transcribed in the maternal gonad. Although the POP-1 and MEX-3 proteins are detected easily in oocytes, the protein products of the other messages are not detected until various
times after fertilization and cleavage. With the exception of the \textit{mex-3} mRNA, each of these mRNAs appears to be distributed equally between \textit{AB} and \textit{P} \textit{r}ons. However, GLP-1 protein is present exclusively in \textit{AB} descendants, while the proteins SKN-1, PIE-1, APX-1, and PAL-1 are present exclusively or predominately in \textit{P} \textit{r}ons (Bowerman et al., 1993; Evans et al., 1994; Hunter and Kenyon, 1996; Mello et al., 1996; Mickey et al., 1996). Although factors that specify these expression patterns have not yet been identified, two cis-acting elements in the 3' UTR of the \textit{glp-1} mRNA have been shown to be required for proper temporal and spatial expression; one element appears to prevent translation of the \textit{glp-1} mRNA in oocytes, and the second prevents expression in \textit{P} \textit{r}ons (Evans et al., 1994).

\textit{KH} domains have not yet been demonstrated to bind RNA with sequence specificity. However, MEX-3 cannot be a general regulator of maternal mRNA expression because SKN-1, GLP-1, and APX-1 each have normal temporal and spatial expression patterns in \textit{mex-3} mutant embryos. MEX-3 may regulate \textit{pal-1} mRNA expression, however, because the PAL-1 protein is expressed inappropriately in \textit{mex-3} mutants (Hunter and Kenyon, 1996). In wild-type oocytes, MEX-3 is present at high levels (this work) and PAL-1 is not detectable (Hunter and Kenyon, 1996). In \textit{mex-3} mutant oocytes, MEX-3 is not present and PAL-1 is expressed at high levels. In wild-type 4-cell embryos, both \textit{mex-3} mRNA and MEX-3 protein are present at higher levels in \textit{AB} descendants than in \textit{P} \textit{r}ons, and PAL-1 is expressed only in \textit{P} \textit{r}ons. In \textit{mex-3} mutant 4-cell embryos, PAL-1 is expressed in each \textit{AB} and \textit{P} \textit{r}on (Hunter and Kenyon, 1996). Thus in wild-type development, the primary role of MEX-3 could be to prevent PAL-1 expression in oocytes. Alternatively, MEX-3 could be required continuously during the early cleavage stages to prevent PAL-1 expression in \textit{AB} descendants.

Eliminating or reducing maternal \textit{pal-1}(+) activity can prevent both \textit{C} and \textit{P} \textit{r}ons from producing muscles (Hunter and Kenyon, 1996). Although PAL-1 is expressed inappropriately in \textit{AB} descendants in \textit{mex-3} mutants, we have shown that these descendants appear to adopt C-like fates. It is possible that PAL-1 is sufficient to specify the C pattern of development but functions together with other factors to specify the \textit{P} \textit{r}on pattern. Consistent with this hypothesis, maternal \textit{pie-1}(+) activity is known to be required for the proper development of one of the \textit{P} \textit{r}on daughters (Mello et al., 1992), and the \textit{pie-1} protein has been shown recently to be present in \textit{P} \textit{r}ons but not \textit{C} (Mello et al., 1996). Thus SKN-1, PAL-1, and PIE-1 play major roles in permitting the MS, C, and \textit{P} \textit{r}on patterns of development, and there appear to be separate mechanisms that determine which blastomeres express these factors.

\textbf{MEX-3 and P Granules}

P granules were defined immunologically in \textit{C. elegans} by several different antibodies, such as K76, that showed identical staining patterns of P granules in gonads, oocytes, and embryos (Strome and Wood, 1982). During embryonic development, the P granules are partitioned specifically into the germline precursors and appear to contain mRNAs of unknown identity (Strome and Wood, 1982; Seydoux and Fire, 1994). Interestingly, MEX-3 can be detected in P granules only in early cleavage stage embryos, suggesting that the composition of P granules changes during early development. Because MEX-3 is likely to be an RNA-binding protein, its association with P granules may provide a method for localizing certain RNA(s) to the germ line precursors. During the period that MEX-3 protein disappears from AB descendants, P granules containing MEX-3 are concentrated into the \textit{P} \textit{r}on blastomere. RNA(s) essential for \textit{P} \textit{r}on development might thus be brought into \textit{P} \textit{r}ons through association with MEX-3.

We have found that \textit{mex-3} mutations cause defects in the development of the \textit{P} \textit{r}on blastomere in addition to the defects observed in AB development. The \textit{P} \textit{r}on defect in \textit{mex-3} mutants does not result from the aberrant development of the \textit{AB} descendants, because this defect persists even after the \textit{AB} blastomere is killed. Injecting antisaense \textit{pal-1} RNA into \textit{mex-3} mutants can suppress the \textit{AB} defect but does not appear to suppress the \textit{P} \textit{r}on defect (Hunter and Kenyon, 1996). These results suggest that MEX-3 may have separate functions in AB and P \textit{r}ons. Development. Thus MEX-3 appears to be required for the proper regulation of \textit{pal-1} mRNA but to have at least one additional function required for \textit{P} \textit{r}on development, perhaps related to its association with P granules.

In conclusion, the asymmetrically distributed PAR proteins at the cortex of the \textit{C. elegans} embryo must somehow determine the asymmetric expression of proteins like PAL-1 and SKN-1 that regulate gene expression. MEX-3 provides a possible link between these two classes of proteins, though much remains to be learned about how this occurs. The observations that MEX-3 (this report) and PAL-1 (Waring and Kenyon, 1991) both appear to be highly conserved in animals suggests the interesting possibility that regulatory interactions between these proteins may have persisted in animal evolution.

\textbf{Experimental Procedures}

\textbf{Strains and Alleles}

The Bristol strain N2 was used as the standard wild-type strain. The marker mutations, deficiencies, and balancer chromosomes used are listed by chromosome as follows: LGI: bli(-e767), dpy-5(e61), glg-30(ad8905), mex-3(or6, or20, or30, zu142, zu155, zu166;Tc1, zu171, zu176;Tc1, zu181, zu205, zu208, zu211, and zu219); spe-8(pca40), spe-13(pca137), hfd10, h71(f294), h72(f70); LGII: bli-2(e768); LGIV: dpy-13(e194), skn-1(zu67); unc-5(e491), unc-89(j41), Dn71 (f41); LGV: dpy-11(e224), him-5(e1409) par-1(e2012), rol-4(scb8); LGX: lin-2(e1309), lon-2(e678). The \textit{mex-3}(or6, or20, and or30) mutations were isolated by Chris Thorpe and Paula Martin. \textit{mex-3}(zu203, zu205, zu211, and zu219) were isolated by Ruyeling Lin. The deficiency \textit{hfd10} was provided by Ann Rose. All other mutant alleles were obtained, or are available, from the \textit{C. elegans} Genetic Center, which is funded by the NIH National Center for Research Resources (NCRR). The basic methods of \textit{C. elegans} culture, mutagenesis, and genetics were as described in Brenner (1974).

\textbf{Isolation of \textit{mex-3} Alleles}

The \textit{mex-3} alleles zu142, zu155, zu203, zu205, zu211, zu219, or6, or20, and or30 were isolated by a screening method described in Priess et al. (1987), and zu166;Tc1, zu171, zu76;Tc1, and zu181 were isolated in a screen described in Mello et al. (1994). All alleles failed to complement \textit{mex-3}(zu155).
Genetic Analysis

mex-3(3zu155) was mapped to the left arm of LGI, near spe-8, using standard two- and three-factor genetic analysis. Map data is available from the C. elegans Genetic Center. The deficiency hfd10 fails to complement mex-3(3zu155), as shown by the following test: mex-3(3zu155)dpy-5(e611)/ht1; him-5(e1491) males were mated to hfd10 dpy-5(e611)/ht2 hermaphrodites. All (8/8) Dpy F1 hermaphrodite progeny developed to adults and produced all dead progeny; these embryos arrested development with phenotypes indistinguishable from embryos produced by mothers homozygous for mex-3(3zu155) (data not shown). The alleles used in this study to characterize the mutant phenotype were backcrossed at least eight times to the parental N2 strain. The mex-3 gene is required maternally as shown by the following test. No viable self-progeny were produced by hermaphrodites homozygous for mex-3(3zu155)egl-30(ad800) (0%; n > 10,000). No viable cross progeny were obtained after purified hermaphrodites homozygous for mex-3(3zu155) were mated to wild-type males (0%; n = 386). mex-3 is not required zygotically, as shown by the following analysis with the null allele mex-3(or20): all embryos produced by a mex-3(or20)egl-30(ad805)/+ hermaphrodite are viable (100%, n = 1896).

Molecular Analysis of mex-3

The genomic DNA flanking a TcI element associated with the mex-3(3zu166;TcI) mutation was cloned as described in Hill and Sternberg (1992). cDNA clones were isolated from a mixed stage cDNA library provided by Andy Fire. Reverse transcription and the polymerase chain reaction were used to detect mex-3 RNA transcribed to SL1 using conditions described in Spieth et al. (1993).

In Situ Hybridization

The in situ hybridization protocol was as described in Seydoux and Fire (1994). No staining was detected with sense probe in wild-type embryos (see Figure 6H), and no staining was detected with antisense probe in mex-3(3zu155) mutant embryos (B. D., unpublished data).

Production of Antibodies and Immunostaining

Rabbits and mice were immunized with either full-length MEX-3 or the COOH-terminal 187 amino acids of MEX-3. The authors wish to dedicate this paper to the memory of Hal Weintraub, a great friend to A. Rose, D. Baillie, and D. Riddle. The authors thank the following for their assistance in generating hybridoma cell lines. Some strains used in this work were provided by the C. elegans Genetic Toolkit Project, funded by an NIH National Center for Research Resources grant to A. Rose, D. Baillie, and D. Riddle. The authors wish to acknowledge unpublished data; and M. Costa, R. Hill, C. Goutte, and D. Waring for critical comments on this manuscript. We are indebted to C. Thorpe, P. Martin, and R. Lin for providing additional mex-3 alleles; K. Neugebauer and M. Roth for their advice and assistance in generating hybridoma cell lines. Some strains used in this work were provided by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.
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