Information disorder in the context of health and science: How bad is the problem and what can we do about it?

University of Massachusetts Amherst

Ezra Markowitz, Professor Department of Environmental Conservation

What is misinformation (about health or science)?

Is this misinformation?



Pfizer's COVID "vaccine" responsible for hepatitis outbreak in children

Wednesday, April 13, 2022 by: Ethan Huff



Is this misinformation?

Boiling tap water causes fluoride in the water to be "more toxic."

Is this misinformation?

THE WALL STREET JOURNAL.

Subscrib

Home World U.S. Politics Economy Business Tech Markets Opinion Books & Arts Real Estate Life & Work Style Sports

Booster Q&A Timing Your Shot Vaccinating Children T Cells and Boosters Free Tests When Is the Pandemic Over? Covid Tracker

. WSJ NEWS EXCLUSIVE | NATIONAL SECURITY

Lab Leak Most Likely Origin of Covid-19 Pandemic, Energy Department Now Says

U.S. agency's revised assessment is based on new intelligence



LYME DISEASE Long Lyme Disease is a thing



Is this misinformation?



Plan for this session



What are "misinformation" and "information disorder"?



Why are we talking about misinformation now?



How much of a problem is science and health misinformation?



Can we do anything about the problem?



Activity



Getting started

In groups of 2-3, spend a few minutes discussing the following:

- Are you worried about health (and science) misinformation?
 - If so, why?
 - If not, why not?
- Is misinformation a problem that you encounter in your work?
 - If so, what are the most common forms of misinformation that you come across?
 - Is the misinformation you or your patients/communities interact with consequential? If so, in what ways?



Defining misinformation

"Any information that is demonstrably false or otherwise misleading, regardless of its source or intention"

(definition from <u>APA 2023</u> statement on health misinformation)

CONFRONTING HEALTH MISINFORMATION

The U.S. Surgeon General's Advisory on Building a Healthy Information Environment

Health misinformation is...

- false
- inaccurate
- misleading

...based on the best available evidence or scientific consensus at the time

Information disorder



www.firstdraftnews.org



Misinformation comes in different 'flavors'

- Flat out false claims
 - e.g., "mRNA vaccines change your DNA"
- Claims not aligned with scientific consensus
 - e.g., "Climate change is happening mostly due to natural cycles"
- True but misleading claims due to lack of context
 - e.g., "Vaccines have killed people"
- · Oversimplified claims that are misleading
 - e.g., "Many medical tests are inaccurate"
- Framing claims in ways that grab attention but mislead
 - e.g., "Healthy doctor died two weeks after getting a COVID-19 vaccine; CDC is investigating why"

misperception ≠ misinformation

Why are we talking about health and science misinformation *now*?

- WHO declared a worldwide "infodemic" in 2020; US Surgeon General's advisory
- Rising concern about the pervasiveness and rapid spread of misinformation, particularly on social media
- Lots of **anecdotal evidence** regarding negative impacts on health decision-making (e.g., vaccine refusal; use of dubious treatments)
- Concern over confusion, mistrust in health experts, undermining public health efforts





Why now?

• We're in a moment of rapid, widespread change

- Decreased trust and confidence in many big institutions
- Rising polarization
- Increasing individualism around the world
- Decreases in some forms of social cohesion and capital
- Immediate access to vast amounts of information
- Shifting cultural norms

Misinformation is *not* a new phenomenon

- As long as humans have communicated with one another, mis-, dis- and mal-information have been around
- Long history of false and misleading claims about health and well-being, health products, science of health
- "Panics" around misinformation are also not new, come and go over course of 19th-21st centuries in particular





Study of misinformation is *also* not new

- Long history of scientific research on the topic
- Crosses many fields and disciplinary approaches, levels of analysis
- Thousands of studies on origins, spread, mechanisms, consequences and interventions



Some things are clear

- There is a TON of health and science misinformation out in the "information environment"
- And many people harbor misperceptions and misbeliefs about health and science
- Sometimes being misinformed → bad decision-making

But that's not the entire story

- There is **way MORE truthful, accurate** information that people encounter in course of daily life
- MOST science and health information MOST people encounter is accurate
- The causal path from misinformation → misperception → bad decision-making is NOT simple
- AND we know that other wellestablished mechanisms can explain a lot of misperception and associated decision-making





Health misinformation is not equally distributed across communities



So, should we worry (so much) about science misinformation?

"Gut feeling" among many is that misinfo causes a lot of problems

- Climate change denial and lack of urgency and political will
- Vaccine hesitancy and other public health problems
- Generalized mistrust and societal breakdown

The actual evidence base is much more mixed and nuanced

- Clear there are impacts of exposure at individual level, especially on misperception and misbelief
- Impacts on actual behavior are much less clear, harder to tease apart
- Some evidence of community-scale negative impacts in certain cases



On the plus side

- Vast majority of misinformation is consumed by a small group of hyperpartisan dogmatists
- Most people don't encounter a lot of misinformation in their "info diets"
- Direct evidence of broad negative impacts is fairly limited
- Most people are not that gullible! Mass persuasion/propaganda efforts tend to not work that well!



On the other hand...

- Misinfo is out there in the world and does sometimes impact individuals and communities
 - Those effects can be truly devastating
- Oftentimes it is targeted at already vulnerable communities and groups
- AND, even when misinfo and misbelief are "corrected," there can still be negative impacts on actual decisionmaking ^(C)

So what can and should we do?

Many largescale efforts already under way



find bad information relative to good

online social networks

encourage healthy skepticism

Warn people and arm them with media literacy skills There are things each of us can do as well 1) Make accurate, useful information easily available from trusted sources

- "Best defense is a good offense"
- Misinformation *loves* a vacuum—if we can avoid information gaps, we can avoid much of the harmful impact misinformation can have on people

2) Become a trusted messenger

- Building trust and solid relationships takes time, commitment, repeated (positive) interactions, true listening and communication
- One-off, rushed interactions don't lend themselves to dislodging misperceptions nor preventing future misinformation exposures from taking hold

3) Recognize the problem for what it is not mere lack of "good information"

- Many factors at play
 - Polluted information environment
 - Routes of exposure
 - Frequency of exposure
 - Individual differences
 - Social learning and motivation
- Time to ditch knowledge deficit thinking: "if only people had the right facts..."

4) Help people understand their lives and world in ways that are compelling and useful

- Humans are storytellers; we naturally communicate through narrative
 - Characters, motives, conflict, resolution
- Individual facts don't speak for themselves—people need coherent structures within which to fit the key facts our science provides

5) Repeatedly debunk misinformation; don't ignore it and hope it'll go away

- Information vacuums are bad; if we want to tell people that something they've heard or believe is wrong, we need to simultaneously give them a more compelling explanation
- *This can be hard when we have high uncertainty or lack clear causal explanations, but we still have to try*

6) Warn people about the misinformation they may encounter

- Concept of "prebunking" is straightforward: put people on guard about the possibility of encountering bad information and they are more likely to be cautious, more sophisticated information consumers moving forward
- No need to be afraid you are going to "plant the seed" of misinformation by warning people

Questions?

emarkowitz@eco.umass.edu

