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The RNA-binding protein POS-1 promotes endo-mesoderm development in C. elegans embryos. Elewa et al. show that POS-1 functions by opposing the cytoplasmic polyadenylation of the gut antagonist neg-1, therefore preventing neg-1 accumulation and allowing endo-mesoderm development. Transcriptome-wide studies suggest a similar regulation of other embryonic transcripts.
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SUMMARY

The regulation of mRNA translation is of fundamental importance in biological mechanisms ranging from embryonic axis specification to the formation of long-term memory. POS-1 is one of several CCCH zinc-finger RNA-binding proteins that regulate cell fate specification during C. elegans embryogenesis. Paradoxically, pos-1 mutants exhibit striking defects in endo-mesoderm development but have wild-type distributions of SKN-1, a key determinant of endomesoderm fates. RNAi screens for pos-1 suppressors identified genes encoding the cytoplasmic poly(A)-polymerase homolog GLD-2, the Bicaudal-C homolog GLD-3, and the protein NEG-1. We show that NEG-1 polymerase homolog GLD-2, the Bicaudal-C homolog GLD-3 activities that promote NEG-1 expression and GLD-3 stimulates GLD-2 activity in vitro (Wang et al., 2002). In addition, GLD-2 polyadenylation of its targets is thought to be regulated through RNA-binding co-factors (D’Ambrogi et al., 2013). For example, in C. elegans, GLD-2 binds to the conserved K homology (KH) domain protein GLD-3, a homolog of Drosophila Bicaudal-C (Eckmann et al., 2004). GLD-3 stimulates GLD-2 activity in vitro (Wang et al., 2002). In addition, GLD-2 polyadenylation of gld-1 mRNA requires both GLD-3 and the RNA-binding domain-containing protein RNP-8 (Kim et al., 2010). gld-2 mutants are sterile, and, therefore, the role of this gene in poly(A) tail synthesis has been examined in the germline alone. The role of GLD-2, if any, in controlling mRNA translation in C. elegans embryos has not been studied.

GLD-2 polyadenylation of its targets is thought to be regulated through RNA-binding co-factors (D’Ambrogi et al., 2013). For example, in C. elegans, GLD-2 binds to the conserved K homology (KH) domain protein GLD-3, a homolog of Drosophila Bicaudal-C (Eckmann et al., 2004). GLD-3 stimulates GLD-2 activity in vitro (Wang et al., 2002). In addition, GLD-2 polyadenylation of gld-1 mRNA requires both GLD-3 and the RNA-binding domain-containing protein RNP-8 (Kim et al., 2010). gld-2 mutants are sterile, and, therefore, the role of this gene in poly(A) tail synthesis has been examined in the germline alone. The role of GLD-2, if any, in controlling mRNA translation in C. elegans embryos has not been studied.

Genetic studies have identified several mRNA binding factors that control cell fate specification during early C. elegans embryogenesis. These factors include the KH domain protein MEX-3 (Draper et al., 1996) and several tandem CCCH zinc-finger proteins related to the vertebrate Tis11 gene, including POS-1 and MEX-5 (Mello et al., 1992; Schubert et al., 2000; Tabar et al., 1999). Although a few target mRNAs for these factors
specification observed in cannot explain the nearly complete lack of endo-mesoderm. However, misregulation of GLP-1 (a Notch receptor homolog) mRNA to the anterior of the early embryo (Ogura et al., 2003). studied for its role in restricting the translation of the GLP-1 known. For example, the tandem CCCH protein POS-1 is best have been identified, the targets, mechanism of regulation by RNA binding, and developmental outcomes remain largely unknown. For example, the tandem CCCH protein POS-1 is best studied for its role in restricting the translation of the GLP-1 mRNA to the anterior of the early embryo (Ogura et al., 2003). However, misregulation of GLP-1 (a Notch receptor homolog) cannot explain the nearly complete lack of endo-mesoderm specification observed in pos-1 mutant embryos.

Here we explore the role of POS-1 in early embryonic events that specify endo-mesoderm precursor cells that give rise to the majority of the C. elegans alimentary canal, including the pharynx and intestine. The endo-mesoderm components of the C. elegans alimentary canal are specified through both cell-intrinsic and inductive mechanisms (Goldstein, 1992; Mello et al., 1994; Priess and Thomson, 1987). A major endo-mesoderm precursor cell named EMS produces the entire intestine and the posterior portion of the pharynx. EMS is born through two asymmetric divisions that sequentially segregate the potential to express endo-mesoderm fates to the posterior sister and the posterior portion of the pharynx. EMS is born through two asymmetric divisions that sequentially segregate the potential to express endo-mesoderm fates to the posterior sister and the posterior portion of the pharynx. EMS is born through two asymmetric divisions that sequentially segregate the potential to express endo-mesoderm fates to the posterior sister cell during the first division of the egg and then to the anterior sister cell during the second division (Figure 1A). The transcription factor SKN-1 is a major determinant of EMS development and accumulates asymmetrically in early four-cell stage embryos, where its levels become high in the posterior sister cells EMS and P2 (Bowerman et al., 1992). SKN-1 activity is further restricted to EMS through the activity of PIE-1, which localizes in the nucleus of P2, where it prevents SKN-1 from activating gene expression (Mello et al., 1992, 1996). In EMS, SKN-1 activates the expression of endo-mesoderm-promoting transcription factors, including MED-1, which promotes the expression of downstream genes required for EMS development (Maduro et al., 2001, 2007). Although POS-1 is critical for EMS specification, the expression and localization of SKN-1 protein is wild-type in pos-1 mutant embryos, suggesting that POS-1 promotes EMS differentiation through other still unknown factors.

Here we identify GLD-2, GLD-3, and NEG-1 as factors whose loss of function restores endoderm differentiation in pos-1 mutant embryos. We show that NEG-1::GFP accumulates asymmetrically to higher levels in the nuclei of anterior blastomeres of four-cell stage wild-type C. elegans embryos. GLD-2 and GLD-3 are required for NEG-1::GFP expression, whereas POS-1 is required to restrict the accumulation of NEG-1 protein to anterior blastomeres. We show that POS-1 binds directly to two consensus binding sites in the UTR and that POS-1 activity correlates with short neg-1 mRNA poly(A) tails, whereas GLD-2 and GLD-3 activities correlate with long neg-1 poly(A) tails. Moreover, we employ a deep sequencing approach, termed poly(A) Tail cDNA sequencing (PAT-seq), to analyze poly(A) tail length transcriptome-wide in early embryos. We identify numerous transcripts whose poly(A) tail lengths depend reciprocally on POS-1 activity and the activities of GLD-2 and GLD-3. Finally, we show that NEG-1 activity is required to prevent anterior blastomeres from ectopically expressing endo-mesoderm fates and that POS-1 restricts NEG-1 activity to ensure proper endo-mesoderm development in the posterior. Interestingly, the GLD-3 homolog Bicaudal-C antagonizes posterior development in the anterior of Drosophila embryos, suggesting that portions of this regulatory circuit are conserved across phyla.

---

**Figure 1. The pos-1 Gutless Phenotype Is Suppressed by neg-1 Loss of Function**

(A–C) Schematic diagrams showing key features in endo-mesoderm differentiation in wild-type and mutant backgrounds (as indicated). At the four-cell stage (A), SKN-1 localization and APX-1 signaling restrict endo-mesoderm potential to the blastomeres EMS and ABA. At the 12-cell stage, MS signaling induces pharyngeal development in adjacent ABA descendants. Finally, body morphogenesis leads to enclosure of internal organs inside a network of hypodermal cells (shown in green). The germlineage P3 continues to divide asymmetrically, producing the germline precursors Z2 and Z3 (purple). Defects in these events are indicated in the mutant contexts (B and C).

(D–F) Polarized light micrographs showing gut differentiation as indicated by birefringent gut granule accumulation (D and F, absent in E).

(G) GFP fluorescence micrographs showing two differentiation markers: AJM-1::GFP, which is expressed at cell-cell junctions of epidermal cells surrounding the embryo (McMahon et al., 2001), and PHA-4::GFP, which is expressed in the nuclei of pharyngeal and intestinal precursors (Horner et al., 1998).

(H and I) Failure of the AJM::GFP network to enclose the anterior region of the embryo is indicated by a dashed line, and PHA-4::GFP nuclear staining, absent in (H), is restored in pos-1, neg-1 double mutants (I, arrows).
RESULTS

Restoration of the Gut in pos-1 Embryos

Gut specification requires the activity of SKN-1 and a downstream gene regulatory network, including the GATA transcription factors MED-1 and END-1 (Bowerman et al., 1992; Maduro et al., 2007). SKN-1 protein levels and distribution are unaffected in the early blastomeres of pos-1 embryos (Tabara et al., 1999). Downstream transcription factors such as MED-1 and END-1, however, are not detected in the presumptive EMS lineage of pos-1 embryos (data not shown). Moreover, analysis of the med-1 mRNA by in situ hybridization revealed reduced levels of med-1 mRNA relative to wild-type embryos (Figure S1A). Together, these findings suggest that, although SKN-1 is expressed and localized properly in pos-1 mutants, it fails to initiate the gut developmental program. Consistent with this idea, we found that overexpression of the downstream transcription factor MED-1 using a multicopy transgenic array could bypass the pos-1 gutless phenotype, restoring gut differentiation in 83% (618 of 762) of med-1(++) pos-1 embryos (compared with 2% [9 of 437] in pos-1(zu148) embryos).

Analysis of genetic interactions between pos-1 and a panel of maternal RNA-binding proteins revealed that RNAi of the KH domain gene gld-3 strongly suppresses the pos-1 gutless phenotype (70.3% ± 11.6%, n = 144 embryos, and data not shown; Figures S1B and S1C). This suppression resulted in embryos with well differentiated endoderm and pharyngeal tissue but did not correct other defects, including the failure in pos-1 mutants to properly specify the ABp fate through a GLP-1 mediated interaction and the failure to properly specify the germline cells Z2 and Z3. To search for additional factors whose loss of function could restore gut development in pos-1 mutants, we used RNAi to systematically screen a set of 944 genes annotated previously as required for embryogenesis. Homozygous pos-1 hermaphrodites were exposed to RNAi, and their embryos were then examined under a light microscope for intestinal birefringence (Experimental Procedures). We found that RNAi targeting seven different genes restored gut differentiation in the pos-1 embryos (Table S1). In each case, as observed for gld-3(RNAi), suppression of pos-1 was limited to the restoration of endomesoderm differentiation, whereas proper ABp and P4 cell fates were not restored (see below and data not shown).

Among the genes identified in our screen were gld-2, which encodes a cytoplasmic poly(A) polymerase required for germline development, and F32D1.6, which encodes a protein with no obvious functional domains. We named this gene neg-1 because of its negative effect on gut differentiation (note that, while this study was under preparation, another study identified a role for neg-1 in anterior morphogenesis [Osborne Nishimura et al., 2015]). We found that knockdown of gld-2 by RNAi resulted in sterility but that weak gld-2(RNAi) (Experimental Procedures) resulted in partial suppression of pos-1 (41%, n = 38; Table S1). RNAI of neg-1 caused a robust suppression of the pos-1 endomesoderm differentiation defect (79%, n = 152; Table S1). Similarly, the neg-1(tm6077) mutation restored endomesoderm specification in pos-1 mutant embryos to 74% (n = 293), suggesting that neg-1(tm6077) behaves like a loss-of-function mutation (Figures 1F and 1I). The 407 bp neg-1(tm6077) deletion removes approximately 60% of the of neg-1 open reading frame, including the C-terminal 97 amino acids, and deletes a carboxy-terminal domain in the NEG-1 protein that is conserved in homologs found in related nematode species (Figure S5B).

POS-1 Restricts NEG-1::GFP Expression to Anterior Cell Lineages

GLD-2 and GLD-3 have been proposed to activate translation of target mRNAs by lengthening their poly(A) tails (Crittenden et al., 2003; Eckmann et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2002). Therefore, an attractive model is that GLD-2 and GLD-3 promote the expression of one or more endo-mesoderm antagonists and that POS-1 functions in endo-mesoderm lineages to oppose GLD-2 and GLD-3 activities. NEG-1 could function with GLD-2 and GLD-3 to promote the expression of such an antagonist. Alternatively, NEG-1 itself might be the hypothetical endo-mesoderm antagonist.

To begin to explore these possibilities, we engineered a strain expressing a single-copy full genomic fusion neg-1::gfp transgene (Frøkjaer-Jensen et al., 2008). Strikingly, we found that NEG-1::GFP is asymmetrically localized in the early embryo (Figure 2; Movie S1). NEG-1::GFP was detected in the zygotic nucleus and at equal levels in both nuclei of the two-cell embryo (23 of 23). However, at the four-cell stage, NEG-1::GFP expression was markedly higher in nuclei of the anterior AB blastomeres than in the nuclei of EMS and P2 (31 of 34). Following the four-cell stage, NEG-1::GFP remained high in the granddaughters of the AB blastomere and diminished progressively in subsequent divisions (data not shown). In the adult germline, we observed NEG-1::GFP in the nuclei of distal germ cells and the nuclei of growing oocytes except for the most proximal oocyte (Figure S2A). Moreover, we observed intense sub-nuclear localization of
NEG-1::GFP on condensed chromatin (11 of 11 NEG-1::GFP+ nuclei) (Figure S2B).

Next we examined the effect of POS-1, GLD-2, and GLD-3 activities on NEG-1::GFP expression. We found that the asymmetry in NEG-1::GFP expression was abolished in pos-1 mutant embryos (Figure 2). Instead, NEG-1::GFP was expressed at high levels characteristic of AB descendants in all lineages of the pos-1 (RNAi) (14 of 14) and pos-1(zu148) (6 of 6) embryos examined. In contrast, we found that NEG-1::GFP expression was absent or greatly reduced at all embryonic stages in gld-2- and gld-3-depleted embryos (Figure 2; data not shown). As expected, we found that gld-3 is epistatic to pos-1. NEG-1::GFP expression, including its ectopic expression in EMS and P2, was abolished in pos-1; gld-3 double mutants (n = 6). Taken together, these findings suggest that POS-1 represses the expression of NEG-1 in posterior blastomeres, whereas GLD-2 and GLD-3 are required to promote NEG-1 expression.

**Consensus POS-1 Binding Elements Are Required for NEG-1 asymmetry**

Early *C. elegans* embryos are transcriptionally quiescent (Guven-Ozkan et al., 2008; Seydoux and Dunn, 1997), and, therefore, regulation of NEG-1::GFP likely occurs at the level of mRNA translation or protein stability. To ask whether NEG-1 expression is regulated by translation by the 3’ UTR of the neg-1 mRNA, we fused *gfp* containing a nuclear localization sequence to the 3’ UTR of neg-1 (hereafter called *gfp*:3’UTR<sup>neg-1</sup>) and placed this reporter under the promoter of the maternally expressed gene oma-1 (Experimental Procedures). We found that the pattern of GFP expression from this reporter, which entirely lacks neg-1 coding sequences, was identical to that of the full-length neg-1::gfp fusion gene (Figure S3A; Movie S2). We therefore conclude that the 3’ UTR of neg-1 is sufficient to confer asymmetric expression in the early embryo.

The 3’ UTR of neg-1 contains three overlapping, predicted RNA binding protein (RBP) elements, referred to here as the RBP cluster (Figure 3A). This RBP cluster begins with a uracil-rich sequence that represents a consensus MEX-5 binding region that is adjacent to overlapping MEX-3 and POS-1 predicted binding elements (Farley et al., 2008; Pagano et al., 2007, 2009). To address whether MEX-3, MEX-5, and POS-1 physically bind to the RBP cluster, we carried out electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) and fluorescence polarization (FP) assays (Experimental Procedures). We found that POS-1, MEX-3, and MEX-5 bind the RBP cluster with affinities comparable with those determined previously between each protein and confirmed biological targets (Figures 3B and 3C) and that MEX-5 binding to the RBP is favored over POS-1 binding (Figure S3B). Moreover, POS-1 binding was reduced when its putative binding site was mutated (Figures 3B and 3C; Figure S3B). In addition to binding within the RBP cluster, we found that MEX-5 binds a second region (MS5; Figures 3A and 3C). We also tested an additional region 3’ of the RBP cluster (P1M3B) that contains a consensus POS-1 binding element and an overlapping MEX-3 consensus sequence (Figure 3A) and found that both recombinant proteins bind this site with high affinity in vitro (Figures S3C and S3D).

To determine whether these consensus binding elements direct the in vivo regulation of NEG-1 expression, we generated *gfp*:3’UTR<sup>neg-1</sup> reporters containing the same mutations as those used for the in vitro binding assays. We found that mutation of the predicted POS-1 binding element within the RBP cluster caused a more symmetric distribution of NEG-1::GFP between anterior and posterior blastomeres (Figure 3D, column PBE1). Combining this lesion with a lesion in the second POS-1 binding element, P1M3B, completely abolished the anterior-posterior asymmetry in NEG-1::GFP levels (Figure 3D, column PBE1&2). Interestingly, this double binding site mutant consistently exhibited NEG-1::GFP expression that, although equal between anterior and posterior blastomeres, was also lower in intensity than levels observed in wild-type anterior nuclei (data not shown), suggesting that one or both of these 3’ UTR elements may also contribute positively to NEG-1 expression.

**mex-5 Positively Regulates neg-1 expression**

MEX-5 and MEX-3 are enriched in anterior blastomeres (Draper et al., 1996; Schubert et al., 2000), where NEG-1 protein levels are high. Moreover, as shown above, these factors bind in vitro to regions in the NEG-1 3’ UTR that are also bound by POS-1 protein, raising the possibility that MEX-5 and MEX-3 are positive regulators of NEG-1 expression that directly compete with POS-1 for binding. Consistent with this possibility, we found that RNAi of mex-5 and mex-3 reduced the levels of NEG::GFP expression. In the case of *mex-3* (RNAi), the asymmetry of NEG-1::GFP expression was not affected, but the overall levels appeared to be reduced slightly (Figure 3E; data not shown). Strikingly, however, RNAi knockdown of *mex-5* completely abolished NEG-1::GFP expression (0 of 30; Figure 3E). RNAi of *mex-6*, a partially redundant paralog of *mex-5*, did not affect NEG-1::GFP expression (data not shown). The complete absence of NEG-1 expression in mex-5 (RNAi) early embryos is opposite to the consequence of pos-1 loss of function. We therefore examined the consequences of *mex-5* knockdown in a pos-1(zu1448); neg-1::gfp strain. We found that all pos-1(zu1448); *mex-5* (RNAi) early embryos exhibited bright NEG-1::GFP expression characteristic of pos-1 mutants (n = 14), including equal and high levels of NEG-1::GFP in all blastomeres at the four-cell stage (n = 4; Figure 3E). Similar results were obtained from pos-1(RNAi); mex-5(RNAi) double knockdown embryos (Figure 3E). These findings indicate that pos-1 is epistatic to and genetically downstream of *mex-5* for the regulation of neg-1 expression and suggest that MEX-5 protein promotes neg-1 expression in the anterior by countering POS-1 repression. A previous study has shown that the POS-1 protein exhibits wild-type localization in mex-5 mutant embryos (Tenlen et al., 2006), suggesting that the absence of neg-1 in mex-5 (RNAi) embryos is not caused by ectopic anterior accumulation of POS-1 protein. The finding that in vitro binding sites for MEX-3, MEX-5, and POS-1 overlap suggests that direct competition between these positive and negative factors may contribute to NEG-1 regulation and may also explain why mutating these elements abolishes both the asymmetry and the overall level of NEG-1::GFP expression.

**POS-1, GLD-2, and GLD-3 Regulate neg-1 Poly(A) Tail Length**

In the germline, GLD-2 and GLD-3 are thought to positively regulate gene expression by promoting the cytoplasmic polyadenylation of target mRNAs (Crittenden et al., 2003; Eckmann et al.,...
Indeed, several *C. elegans* transcripts expressed in the germline have been shown to be dependent on GLD-2 for their polyadenylation (Jänicke et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2010). Moreover, the *neg-1* transcript is one of hundreds of transcripts that have shortened poly(A)-tails in the sterile *gld-2* adult compared with wild-type adults (T.H.B., unpublished data). We therefore asked whether GLD-2 and GLD-3 might exert their positive effects on *NEG-1* expression by promoting the polyadenylation of *neg-1* mRNA in the embryo.

To measure the length of *neg-1* poly(A) tails in early embryos, we used a deep sequencing approach termed PAT-seq (Experimental Procedures; T.H.B., P.F.H., and D.R.P., unpublished data). If *NEG-1* regulation is achieved through polyadenylation, then *NEG-1* would be expected to have long poly(A) tails in anterior blastomeres and short tails in posterior blastomeres. If this difference in poly(A) tail length between blastomeres is significantly large, we would detect two different populations of tail lengths when analyzing whole embryos. Consistent with this idea, we observed a bimodal distribution of *neg-1* poly(A) tail lengths in wild-type embryos (Figure 4A), with a clustering of longer tails centered at around 80 A residues and a second clustering of shorter tails centered at approximately 20 A residues in length (Figure 4B) (n = 318 tails). In *pos-1* mutants, the...
density of reads with approximately 80 A residues was increased and was more tightly clustered relative to the wild-type (WT) (Figures 4A, arrow, and 4B). Furthermore, the median poly(A) read length was increased slightly (red bars in Figure 4A), as was the average from 44.4 bases in the WT to 48.9 bases in pos-1 (n = 276 tails, p = 0.05). In contrast, read lengths were shifted dramatically toward shorter tails in gld-3 and gld-2 depleted embryos (Figures 4A and 4B), with an average length in gld-3(RNAi) embryos of 25.3 (n = 250 tails, p = 0.00005) and in gld-2(RNAi) embryos of 36.3 (n = 210 tails, p = 0.005). Taken together, these findings suggest that POS-1 and GLD-2/GLD-3 regulate the expression of NEG-1 by controlling the polyadenylation status of neg-1 mRNA.

neg-1(+)- Activity Represses Anterior Endo-mesoderm Differentiation

To further analyze the function of neg-1, we characterized the loss-of-function phenotypes associated with neg-1(RNAi) or the deletion allele neg-1(tm6077). Interestingly, we found that neg-1, like pos-1, is required maternally for proper embryonic development. Approximately 75% of embryos produced by the homozygous neg-1 mutant (or RNAi) mothers die before hatching. In most cases, the dead embryos exhibit defective morphogenesis, characterized by a failure of hypodermal cells to properly enclose the anterior portion of the embryo (Figure 5A). These findings suggest that neg-1(+)- activity promotes anterior cell fate specification and/or morphogenesis.

Because neg-1 is an antagonist of gut specification, we wondered whether NEG-1 might function during wild-type development to repress mesoderm or endoderm differentiation in lineages that normally specify portions of the anterior hypodermis. To explore this possibility, we examined the expression pattern of PHA-4 in neg-1(tm6077). pha-4 encodes a FoxA transcription factor expressed in mesodermal and endodermal precursor cells (Du et al., 2014; Horner et al., 1998). Using 4D microscopy to trace lineages (Hardin, 2011), we found that PHA-4::GFP was expressed ectopically in anterior AB sub-lineages of neg-1(tm6077) embryos, suggesting that NEG-1 prevents PHA-4 expression in lineages that are normally destined to become ectoderm (Figures 5B and 5C; Figure 5D).

In addition to hypodermal lineages, neg-1 loss of function also affects neuronal lineages. We therefore analyzed neg-1 mutants that survived embryogenesis for behavioral deficits that might reflect impaired neuronal function. We found that neg-1 worms exhibit reduced osmotic avoidance. Wild-type L4 worms avoided 2 M glycerol with an avoidance index of 0.63 ± 0.05 (n = 80), whereas neg-1 worms of the same stage scored 0.33 ± 0.06 (n = 60) (Figure 5E).

As described above, we found that overexpression of the endo-mesoderm promoting transcription factor med-1 can partially suppress the pos-1 gutless phenotype. We reasoned, therefore, that med-1 loss of function might suppress ectopic mesoderm differentiation and restore anterior development in neg-1 embryos. Indeed, we found that med-1(ok804) dramatically reduced embryonic lethality of neg-1(tm6077) from ~75% to 35.6% ± 13.3% (n = 1,545 embryos; Figure 5D). Notably, the osmotic avoidance defect of neg-1 was also suppressed (0.68 ± 0.06, n = 60; Figure 5E), suggesting that ectopic mesoderm differentiation also causes the neg-1 behavioral phenotype. Taken together, these findings suggest that NEG-1 functions in the anterior to ensure robust ectoderm specification, at least in part, by preventing endo-mesoderm development and that POS-1 promotes posterior endo-mesoderm differentiation by restricting NEG-1 protein accumulation to the anterior.

DISCUSSION

Early embryonic patterning in C. elegans involves the precise spatial and temporal control of mRNA translation (Begasse and Hyman, 2011). Maternal mRNAs encoding transcription factors, cell signaling components, and other developmental regulators become differentially expressed in early embryonic cells, contributing to the rapid diversification of cell fates (Hwang and Rose, 2010). Here we explored the role of the RNA-binding protein POS-1 in this process. We have shown that a maternal mRNA encoding an endo-mesoderm repressor NEG-1 is a key target for POS-1 regulation. Taken together, our genetic, molecular, and localization studies support a model in which POS-1 binds to the neg-1 mRNA and regulates its cytoplasmic polyadenylation (Figure 6A). Our findings suggest that POS-1 and its homolog MEX-5 bind adjacent sequences in the neg-1 mRNA 3' UTR and have opposing effects on the recruitment of the cytoplasmic poly(A) polymerase GLD-2 and its co-factor GLD-3. In anterior blastomeres, where MEX-5 levels are high (Schubert et al., 2014).
et al., 2000), MEX-5 overcomes POS-1 inhibition, leading to GLD-2/GLD-3 recruitment, extension of neg-1 poly(A) tails, and accumulation of NEG-1 protein, which, in turn, represses endo-mesoderm fates, ensuring the proper expression of ectodermal (skin and neuronal) cell fates. Conversely, in posterior blastomeres, high POS-1 levels prevent GLD-2/GLD-3-mediated activation of neg-1 mRNA translation and, therefore, protect endo-mesoderm precursors from NEG-1 protein accumulation (Figure 6B).

GLD-2 and GLD-3 as Regulators of Early Embryogenesis

Previous work has demonstrated that GLD-2 and GLD-3 function together during germline development to promote the transition from mitosis to meiosis (Crittenden et al., 2003; Eckmann et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2002). Here we have shown that GLD-2 and GLD-3 also promote embryogenesis by positively regulating the expression of the endo-mesoderm inhibitor NEG-1. Our findings suggest that GLD-2 and GLD-3 promote NEG-1 expression by lengthening the poly(A) tail of neg-1 mRNA. Similar regulatory interactions may control the expression of other proteins that accumulate in anterior blastomeres. Of more than 5,000 genes represented in our early embryo PAT-seq datasets, we identified 436 with “GLD-2/GLD-3-activated, POS-1-repressed” poly(A) tail lengths (Figure 6C). These included mex-3, mex-5, mex-6, and zif-1, whose protein products are enriched in the anterior in a pattern similar to that of NEG-1 (DeRenzo et al., 2003; Draper et al., 1996; Schubert et al., 2000). A recent study used oligo-dT selection to recover mRNAs from isolated anterior and posterior blastomeres of two-cell-stage C. elegans embryos (Osborne Nishimura et al., 2015). Interestingly, comparing these data to our PAT-seq data revealed that 40.5% (17 of 42) of the AB-enriched mRNAs from the single-blastomere study, but only 1.8% (2 of 112) of P1-enriched mRNAs, were among the...
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The POS-1/GLD-2/GLD-3 interactions that regulate identified by PAT-seq (Tables S2 and S3). These findings suggest that the POS-1/GLD-2/GLD-3-activated, POS-1-repressed group of mRNAs (A)

Figure 6. RBPs Control Cytoplasmic Polyadenylation of mRNAs to Establish Anterior-Posterior asymmetries

(A) Model for the regulation of cell fate specification in anterior and posterior lineages (blue and red circles, respectively). The diagram indicates how the asymmetric accumulation of the endo-mesoderm activator (SKN-1) and of these factors ensure the proper expression of ectodermal and endo-mesodermal transcriptional programs. MEX-5-negative regulation of SKN-1 and POS-1 is based on Schubert et al. (2000).

(b) GLD-3/2/GLD-3-activated, POS-1-repressed genes are those that had shorter tails upon glp-1 RNAi or glp-2 RNAi and longer poly(A) tails after pos-1 RNAi (overlap between both circles). This common group consists of 436 genes that include the anterior expressed neg-1, mex-3, mex-5, mex-6, and zif-1, glp-3 and glp-1 qualify as GLD-2-activated, POS-1-repressed genes but not as GLD-3-activated, POS-1-repressed genes.

Figure 6C

It is not yet known how GLD-2 and GLD-3 are recruited to their targets. A structural study indicates that domains KH2-KH5 of GLD-3 assemble a thumb-like structure that may present KH1 for direct contact with GLD-2 (Nakel et al., 2010; Eckmann et al., 2004). These findings raise the question of whether GLD-3 can bind both mRNA and GLD-2 simultaneously and suggest that, rather than directly binding RNA to recruit GLD-2, GLD-3 may serve as an adaptor protein for GLD-2 recruitment by other RNA-binding factors. One attractive possibility is that CCCH-finger proteins contribute RNA-binding specificity that determines which mRNAs are targeted for poly(A) tail lengthening by GLD-2. With at least seven CCCH proteins (MEX-1, POS-1, MEX-5, MEX-6, OMA-1, OMA-2, and PIE-1) implicated in cell fate determination in early embryos, the combinatorial complexity available for mRNA regulation is substantial. hinting at additional complexity, our findings reveal a subset of mRNAs whose poly(A) tail lengths appear to depend on GLD-2 but not GLD-3 activity (Figure 6D). Among these, the gpd-1 mRNA has been shown previously to be a target of POS-1-negative regulation in the posterior of the embryo (Ogura et al., 2003). It will therefore be interesting to determine whether a distinct GLD-2 complex that does not include GLD-3 activates GPD-1 mRNA translation. It is also worth noting that the mRNA of the GLP-1 ligand APX-1, whose protein expression in the P2 blastomere depends on POS-1(+), is among a subset of mRNAs whose poly(A) tail lengths were correlated positively with GLD-2 and POS-1 (but not GLD-3 activity) (Table S3). Therefore, in addition to its role as a negative regulator of neg-1 mRNA, POS-1 may function as a positive regulator that recruits a distinct GLD-2 complex to promote the lengthening of apx-1 mRNA poly(A) tails.

A Conserved Mechanism for Regulating Anterior-Posterior asymmetries?

How NEG-1 represses endo-mesoderm genes remains unclear. NEG-1 does not contain recognizable sequence domains that resemble other known functional elements, and only two homologs have been identified in related nematodes (Figures S5A and S5B). However, the nuclear localization of NEG-1 protein and its association with chromatin suggest that NEG-1 may participate in a conserved mechanism that controls embryonic asymmetry in metazoans. Drosophila Bicaudal-C is required to prevent posterior (caudal/abdominal) fates from appearing ectopically in the interior in the Drosophila embryo, a developmental function very similar to that described here for C. elegans glp-3. Interestingly, Drosophila Bicaudal-C appears to bind its own mRNA and repress translation by recruiting components of a conserved mRNA deadenylase complex (Chicoine et al., 2007). A recent study suggests that the Xenopus homolog Bic-C represses the expression of specific mRNAs in the vegetal hemisphere of early embryos by directly binding the translational control element present in their 3’ UTRs (Zhang et al., 2014). How these repressive functions of Bic-C proteins can be reconciled with our findings that GLD-3 functions to promote mRNA poly(A) tail length and gene expression remains to be seen. However, a dynamic

GLD-2/GLD-3-activated, POS-1-repressed group of mRNAs identified by PAT-seq (Tables S2 and S3). These findings suggest that the POS-1/GLD-2/GLD-3 interactions that regulate neg-1 poly(A) tail length may also function more generally to regulate the asymmetric expression of dozens of target mRNAs, including neg-1, zif-1, and mex-3/5/6 (Figure 6C).
interplay between activities that shorten and lengthen poly(A) tails in the cytoplasm is thought to be central to the regulation of mRNA translation during fly oogenesis and Xenopus oocyte maturation (Lvshina et al., 2014). It is possible, therefore, that Bic-C proteins mediate repression by shortening poly(A) tails to promote mRNA turnover or to promote the storage of certain mRNAs for later activation. Conversely, by engaging GLD-2 protein, Bic-C proteins may have the ability to reverse this repression, selectively re-activating specific mRNAs. Currently we do not know how neg-1 mRNA becomes de-adenylated. Therefore, it will be interesting to determine whether GLD-3 plays a role in recruiting a deadenylase complex to the neg-1 mRNA, perhaps during oogenesis, to set the stage for re-adenylation later during embryogenesis.

In both fly and frog oocytes, conserved RNA binding factors called cytoplasmic polyadenylation element-binding proteins (CPEBs) play a key role in recruiting the cytoplasmic poly(A) polymerase GLD-2 to specific targets (Barnard et al., 2004; Benoit et al., 2008; Cui et al., 2008). Although CPEB proteins are conserved in C. elegans and have been shown to function with GLD-2 to regulate germline development, we have not found a role for CPEBs in regulating neg-1 mRNA polyadenylation or other embryonic events. Perhaps POS-1 and its CCCH zinc-finger homologs provide RNA-binding and regulatory activities in C. elegans that are analogous to those provided by CPEB proteins in other organisms. There is clearly a rich complexity of mRNA regulation in metazoan embryos, especially in embryos where important early developmental events occur prior to the onset of zygotic transcription. It will be interesting in the future to determine the extent to which cytoplasmic polyadenylation and the rich combinatorial complexity of RNA-binding factors contribute to the remarkable unfolding of early embryonic patterning, organogenesis, and neurogenesis across phyla.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Strains**

JJ462: +/nt1 IV; pos-1(zu148) unc-42(e270)/nt1 V. 
WM317: neg-1(tm6077). The deletion was obtained by the National Bio-resource Project (Mitani Laboratory) and removed a region encoding the last 97 amino acids as well as the terminating stop codon of the gene, therefore fusing the coding region with the 3' UTR and adding 11 codons to the predicted gene product before encountering an in-frame stop codon. 

RW10425: stts10116 [his-72(promoter)::his-24::mCherry + unc-119(+)]. stts37 [pie-1(promoter)::mCherry::H2B + unc-119(+)]. stts10389 [pha-4::GFP::TY1::3xFLAG]. 

WM310: gfp::neg-1; neg-1(tm6077). A region of cosmid F32D1 flanked by Affi and NotI digestion sites was subcloned, and an open reading frame (ORF) encoding GFP was introduced upstream of F32D1.6 (gfp::neg-1). The engineered transgene preserved neg-1 in its predicted operon and its downstream neighbor fip-1 and was introduced using MosSCI transgenesis (Frokjaer-Jensen et al., 2009). gfp::neg-1 was crossed into the neg-1(tm6077) background. 

WM311: oma-1 promoter::NLS::gfp::neg-1 3'UTR (J608.6). 

WM312: oma-1 promoter::NLS::gfp::neg-1 3' UTR with a mutated POS-1 binding site (see RBPC Mut in Table S2). 

WM316: neg-1(tm6077); med-1(ok804). 

WM326: pos-1(zu148) unc-42(e230); nt1 [med-1::gfp, rol-6(u1006)]. 

WM319: oma-1 promoter::NLS::gfp::neg-1 3' UTR with two mutated POS-1 binding elements.

### med-1 In Situ Hybridization

In situ hybridization was performed as described by Tabara et al. (1999). Probes were designed against gfp mRNA and used to identify med-1::gfp in the strain med-1::gfp, rol-6(u1006) (Maduro et al., 2001) before and after pos-1(RNAi).

### pos-1 Suppressor Screen

The pos-1 suppressor screen was performed by placing five to ten L2–L4 pos-1(zu148) unc-42(e270) worms on RNAI food and allowing them to reach adulthood and lay eggs. Embryos were then scored for gut granules. Positive hits were then retested by scoring the embryos (E) of four to six individual worms (n) fed the RNAI food to assess overall gut development and obtain average pos-1 suppression and SD. The same protocol was followed for gld-3 and gld-2 suppression. For the effect of med-1 upregulation, a med-1::gfp multi-copy transgenic array was crossed with pos-1(zu148) unc-42(e270), and embryos of homozygous hermaphrodites were scored for gut granules.

### Binding Assays

Fluorescence anisotropy and EMSAs using purified recombinant POS-1 (80–183), MEX-3 (45–205) and MEX-5 (236–350) were done as described by Farray et al. (2006) and Pagano et al. (2007, 2009), respectively. All RNA oligonucleotides used in this study were chemically synthesized and fluorescently labeled at the 3' end with fluorescein amide (FAM) by Integrated DNA Technologies.

Competition assays were set up similar to the EMSAs. 550 nM of POS-1 (80–180) or 450 nM MEX-5 (236–350) was added to the RNA equilibration buffer to obtain a 70% RNA-bound complex. Then the corresponding competing protein was titrated to the reaction mixture at varying concentrations. After 3 hr of equilibration, the reaction mixture was run on a 5% native polyacrylamide gel in 1x Tris-borate (TB) for 3 hr at 120 V. Quantifications were done by determining the pixel intensity of the RNA species bound by protein relative to the pixel intensity of total RNA species to give the fraction bound of RNA. The pixel intensities of each band were determined and background-corrected by using Image Gauge (Fujifilm).

**NEG-1::GFP Quantification**

Time-lapse imaging of NEG-1::GFP was conducted by capturing GFP fluorescence (exposure time, 40 ms) at three different planes (z stacks) every 1 min for the two- to six-cell stages. Images spanning the four-cell stage were analyzed by measuring GFP intensity in nuclei (mean gray value) using ImageJ. The three time points preceding the division of ABa and ABp were selected to represent NEG-1::GFP intensity in the four-cell stage. GFP intensity of ABa and ABp was summed for “anterior GFP,” and those of EMS and P2 were represented NEG-1::GFP intensity in the four-cell stage. GFP intensity generated during lineaging were used for Figure 6C.

**Embryonic Cell Lineaging and pha-4::gfp Expression**

The EMS lineage was performed using the pha-4::gfp lineaging strain RW10425 for the wild-type. The strain was fed pos-1 RNAI food to lineage EMS in pos-1(–) embryos. The strain was crossed with pos-1(zu148) unc-42(e270) and fed gld-3 RNAI food to lineage EMS in pos-1(–); gld-3(–) embryos. Lineaging was performed according to Du et al. (2014). The images of pha-4::gfp expression generated during lineaging were used for Figure 6C.

**PAT-Seq**

The PAT-seq approach was utilized to determine the gene expression, poly(A) site, and polyadenylation state of the transcriptome of early C. elegans embryos having been depleted for a series of RNA binding proteins. pos-1 was knocked down using double-stranded RNA (dsRNA)-expressed E. coli fed to ~100,000 starved/synchronized L1 larvae. When half of the population reached adulthood and half were still in the L4 stage, worms were bleached and embryos harvested and stored in Trizol. This ensured an enrichment of early embryos between the 1- and 24-cell stage. Because prolonged gld-3 and gld-2 RNAI causes sterility, starved/synchronized L1 larvae were fed diluted OP50 (200 µl of concentrated OP50 diluted in 2 ml of M9 and starved L1a) for 16 hr. After this initial step, the OP50 was mostly consumed by the larvae, and concentrated gld-3 or gld-2 dsRNA expressing E. coli was added to the plates. When half of the population reached adulthood and half were still in the L4 stage, worms were bleached and embryos harvested and stored in...
Trizol. Total RNA was isolated using standard procedures. For wild-type samples, synchronized L1s were fed OP50 until the aforementioned stage before being processed as stated above. More than 90% knockdown of pos-1 and gld-3 was confirmed by qPCR, whereas the gld-2 knockdown was approximately 50% (data not shown).

**Osmotic Avoidance Test**
A drop of glycerol (2 M) was delivered near the tail of a worm as it moved forward. The glycerol drop instantly surrounds the worm and reaches the anterior sensory organs. Wild-type worms immediately sense the glycerol as a repellent and move backward. Backward movement was scored.

**ACCESSION NUMBERS**
The GEO accession number for the PAT-seq data reported in this paper is GSE57993.
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