UMass Worcester Mentoring Advisory Board
January 30, 2013 Meeting Minutes

Date/Time: Wednesday, January 30, 2013
3:00-4:00pm

Meeting Location: Island Conference Room, S2-350

MEETING INFORMATION:

Agenda
I. Introductions of newest members:
   a. Pranoti Mandrekar, PhD
      Co-Chair, Minority Academic Advancement Committee (MAAC)
   b. José Lemos, PhD
      Chair of the International Committee for the Council on Equal Opportunity and Diversity
II. Mentoring Survey Response Rate Update
III. Comprehensive Mentoring Framework
IV. Onboarding Mentoring Program

Attachments:
Julia Andrieni: UMass Worcester Mentoring Survey Response Rate Summary
Rob Milner: A Framework for Faculty Mentoring at UMW
Joanna Cain: Onboarding at UMMS: Welcoming and Mentoring New Faculty

INVITEES/ATTENDEES: (Attendees are identified in BOLD)
Julia Andrieni
Carol Bova
Joanna Cain
Tony Carruthers
Deborah DeMarco
Jerry Gurwitz
Dave Hatem
Tony Imbalzano
Catarina Kiefe
Jean King
Dan Lasser
José Lemos
Katherine Luzuriaga
Pranoti Mandrekar
Elaine Martin
Bob Matthews
Rob Milner
Judy Ockene
Deborah Plummer
Michele Pugnaire
Linda Sagor
Gyongyi Szabo
Luanne Thorndyke

Guest: Glenn Mangurian

Item # | Statement /Owner | Time Allotted | Comments/Minutes | Action/Status
--- | --- | --- | --- | ---
1 | Julia Andrieni Presentation: UMass Worcester Mentoring Survey Response Rate Summary | 10 mins. | • The ACE Sloan Faculty Survey Response rate was 40%. The UMass Worcester Mentoring Survey maintained a 40% response rate by all subgroups: Faculty = 42.5% Trainees = 42.5% Students = 57.4%
   • 15 Basic Science and Clinical departments had faculty survey response rates ≥ 40%.
   Next Steps: Julia Andrieni will meet with Bruce Barton and QHS Statistician to analyze results. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Statement /Owner</th>
<th>Time Allotted</th>
<th>Comments/Minutes</th>
<th>Action/Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1     | Jerry Gurwitz expressed post-docs response rate was interesting as it was greater than the resident/fellow response rate. There was a discussion on the potential factors influencing this decreased response rate.  
Jerry Gurwitz also expressed an interest to examine the non-responders to validate results.  
Some departments stated they had faculty who were contracted and this group of faculty may not be as engaged as full-time employed faculty. Response rates in departments with contracted faculty were lower. Helpful to find out what portion of non responders were full-time, part-time, contracted, per diem, etc. |  | Small focus groups could be formed with the non-respondents to find out why they did not participate in the survey – were they too busy/not time, not interested, etc. |
| 2     | Rob Milner  
**Presentation:** A Framework for Faculty Mentoring at UMW | 20 mins. |  
José Lemos inquired about a possible monetary or other type of incentive for mentors.  
Jean King mentioned that there is not just one mentoring solution; there are many overlapping and unique skills, resources, views, and models | Mentoring resources, tools, and best practices are being developed by the Office of Faculty Affairs. |
| 3     | Joanna Cain  
**Presentation:** Onboarding at UMMS: Welcoming and Mentoring New Faculty | 20 mins. |  
The onboarding mentoring program target is New Faculty  
There are 3 key elements to onboarding:  
   - Orientation  
   - Mentoring  
   - Resources  
Onboarding Mentor Program feedback collected at 6 months  
Dave Hatem mentioned that within the Learning Communities, there are both junior and senior mentors. The senior mentors tend to have a more robust skills set.  
Deb DeMarco mentioned that there should be a “farm team” or “bull pen” mentors. She thought that several faculty members would be interested in the mentoring role for specific skill sets. | Onboarding Mentor Program implemented in fall 2012. |